Draft

ECO FRIENDLY MEASURES TO MITIGATE IMPACTS OF LINEAR INFRASTRUCTURE ON WILDLIFE



Draft

Citation:

Contributors

Link:

Draft

Logo:

Photo credits:

Design and layout:

CONTENTS

Foreword

Preface

List of Acronyms and abbreviations

PART I: INTRODUCTION

CHAPTER 1 RELEVANCE OF MAINSTREAMING BIODIVERSITY IN LINEAR INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT

CHAPTER 2 PROGRESSIVE TRENDS IN LINEAR DEVELOPMENT SECTORS IN INDIA

CHAPTER 3 REGULATORY PROCEEDURES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE OF PROJECTS

CHAPTER 4 OVERVIEW OF ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS OF LINEAR INFRASTRUCTURE

CHAPTER 5 MITIGATION PRINCIPLES

PART II: MITIGATION OF IMPACTS OF ROADS AND RAILWAY LINES

CHAPTER 6 GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR MITIGATING IMPACTS ON WILDLIFE

CHAPTER 7 FACTORS FOR ENHANCING PERMEABILITY OF CROSSING STRUCTURES

CHAPTER 8 MITIGATION MEASURES FOR CONNECTING LANDSCAPES AND SPECIES

CHAPTER 9 STRUCTURAL MEASURES FOR REDUCING ANIMAL MORTALITY

CHAPTER 10 NON-STRUCTURAL MEASURES FOR REDUCING MORTALITY: SIGNAGE AND WARNING SYSTEMS

Draft

CHAPTER 11 MEASURES FOR NOISE ATTENUATION

PART III: MITIGATION OF IMPACTS OF POWERLINES

CHAPTER 12 MITIGATION OF ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS OF POWERLINES ON BIRDS

PART IV: AVAILABLE GUIDANCE

Glossary

Appendices

Draft

Draft

FOREWORD

Draft

Draft

PREFACE

Draft

List of Acronyms and abbreviations

Addl. DGF (FC)	Additional Director General of Forests (Forest Conservation)
Addl. PCCF	Additional Principal Chief Conservator of Forests
BRO	Border Roads Organisation
ADS	Animal Detection Systems
APLIC	Avian Power Line Interaction Committee
AVC	Animal Vehicle Collisions
CCF	Chief Conservator of Forests
CF	Conservator of Forests
Ckm	Circuit kilometre
CRZ	Coastal Regulation Zone
DAS	Distributed Acoustic Sensing
DC	District Commissioner
DFO	Divisional Forest Officer
DM	District Magistrate
Dy. Com	Deputy Commissioner
EAC	Expert Appraisal Committee
EC	Environmental Clearance

Draft

EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
FAC	Forest Advisory Committee
FRA	Forest Rights Act
GIS	Geographic Information System
HWC	Human-Wildlife Conflict
IAA	Impact Assessment Agency
IBA	Important Bird Area
IR	Indian Railways
IRF	International Road Federation
ITBP	Indo Tibetan Border Police
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature
LAC	Line of Actual Control
LWE	Left Wing Extremism
MoEFCC	Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change
NBWL	National Board for Wildlife
NGO	Non-Governmental Organisation
NH	National Highway
NHAI	National Highway Authority of India
NHDP	National Highway Development Programme

Draft

NTDPC	National Transport Development Policy Committee
NTFP	Non-Timber Forest Products
PA	Protected Area
PIT	Passive Integrated Transponder
RCC	Reinforced Cement Concrete
PMGSY	Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana
PPP	Public Private Partnership
PWD	Public Works Department
RBS	Regional Base Station
SARDP-NE	Special Accelerated Road Development Programme in North East
SEAC	State-level Expert Appraisal Committee
SEIAA	State Environmental Impact Assessment Authority
SH	State Highway
SPCB	State Pollution Control Board
TOR	Terms of Reference
UAV	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
UTPCC	Union Territory Pollution Control Committee
VIE	Visible Implanted Elastomer

Draft

WHO	World Health Organisation
WII	Wildlife Institute of India
WTI	Wildlife Trust of India
ZP	Zila Parishad

Draft

PART I

INTRODUCTION

This section examines why it is important to consider biodiversity in linear infrastructure planning and design. It describes trends in a number of linear development sectors in India and touches on the regulatory procedures for obtaining environmental clearance for projects. The section then gives an overview of ecological impacts of linear developments and looks at core principles for impact mitigation.

Draft

1.RELEVANCE OF MAINSTREAMING BIODIVERSITY IN LINEAR INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT

WILDLIFE CONSERVATION: APPROACHES AND PRACTICE

'Wildlife' encompasses both wild animals and plants. Wildlife conservation is not just a strategy aimed at protection of rare, threatened and endemic biodiversity but is a well-recognised means of achieving ecological security, human wellbeing and sustainable development of any country. Establishment of Protected Areas (PAs) is a globally-accepted planning approach for the protection of wildlife, and conservation of biodiversity and valued ecosystem services. Fifty years ago, when environmental destruction was poorly regulated, PAs were managed as natural 'islands' that remained largely untouched by human influence. Today, it is recognised that conservation cannot succeed by managing discrete PAs alone: these areas are part of a global matrix and their survival—and the persistence of biodiversity— depends upon a wide variety of human and natural factors that operate at different scales. For this reason, PAs need to be managed as a network of interacting and interdependent areas, to safeguard their biodiversity values and ecosystem functioning in the long term.

The PA network of India covers about 4.88% of the total geographical area of the country, presently comprising 103 national parks, 531 wildlife sanctuaries, 66 conservation reserves and 26 community reserves (http://www.wii.gov.in/national_wildlife_database). This network's core land use and purpose is biodiversity conservation. The average size of PAs in India is, however, small and a significant proportion of wildlife populations exist outside the PA network. To manage this situation, the concept of PA management has gradually expanded to landscape conservation, where areas adjoining as well as outside the PAs have a significant role to play in supporting wildlife. Tiger reserves have, in fact, legalised their management on the basis of a landscape approach that involves designation of core and buffer areas, and areas that provide important connecting corridors.

Draft

In many of these PAs and protected landscapes, linear developments such as roads, railway lines, power lines and canals are invariably in conflict with the objectives of wildlife conservation.

CHALLENGES OF MANAGING LINEAR DEVELOPMENTS

Within many of India's PAs, roads, railway lines and transmission lines cut across the landscape, fragment wild habitats and often result in mortality of animals, thus endangering many of the species that have already been severely affected by development. Some of the prominent examples of these negative effects include the National Highway 72 and 74 crossing Rajaji National Park; National Highway 67 and 212 passing through Bandipur National Park; National Highway 209 bisecting Sathyamangalam Wildlife Sanctuary in Tamil Nadu; NH-6 and 7 intersecting at least 6 tiger corridors in the Vidarbha region of Maharashtra; State Highway- 54 passing through Balram Ambaji Sanctuary; seven State highways and the railway lines through Gir National Park and Sanctuary NH 37 through Kaziranga National Park and NH 54 through Borail Wildlife Sanctuary in Assam , and the Poily-Ranjitpura approach road passing through Jambughoda Wildlife Sanctuary (Rajvanshi et al. 2001; WII 2007; Joshi & Dixit 2012; Habib et al. 2015).

Major roads including National Highways, State Highways and roads of the Public Works Department traverse—for varying distances—as many as 26 of the 42 PAs declared as tiger reserves in the country (Rajvanshi et al. 2013).

Wide-ranging species require contiguity of their habitats across landscapes. The increase in extent of linear developments in most landscapes outside PAs thus presents a major challenge for wildlife management and conservation, since these linear developments often create barriers or breaks in habitat, which is detrimental to the long-term conservation of species and ecosystems. There is a pressing need for conservation and development to go hand in hand, complementing—rather than conflicting with—each other.

Draft

MAINSTREAMING BIODIVERSITY IN LINEAR DEVELOPMENTS

Harmonising biodiversity conservation and linear development will require the twin actions of i) creating and strengthening protected areas; and ii) mainstreaming biodiversity conservation in linear developments.

The general concept of working to embed conservation values in development has been pursued for many years under many names; 'mainstreaming' is one such name. 'Mainstreaming' biodiversity has no single agreed-upon definition. According to the Merriam-Webster Dictionary, 'mainstreaming' refers to 'integrating' biodiversity into development.

According to Kosmus et al. (2012), the overall goal of biodiversity mainstreaming is to have biodiversity principles included at every stage of the policies, plans, programmes and project cycles, regardless of whether international organisations, businesses or governments lead the process. A recent definition (Huntley & Redford 2014) describes biodiversity mainstreaming as *'the process of embedding biodiversity considerations into policies, strategies and practices of key public and private actors that impact or rely on biodiversity, so that biodiversity is conserved, and sustainably used, both locally and globally'*.

All these definitions reflect the view that biodiversity conservation goals are not seen as distinct from, or contradictory to, the goals of development and economic growth. Rather, the approach is intended to shift the focus of development policies and interventions towards better incorporation of the values of biodiversity. Integration of biodiversity considerations into the location, design and operation of infrastructure projects would not only have the advantage of reducing the environmental, social and economic costs, but of creating *win-win* results for biodiversity conservation and human safety which lie at the core of all development initiatives.

i) Mainstreaming approaches

Draft

Mainstreaming can best be understood as an attempt to influence landscape-level plans and strategies by incorporating clear biodiversity goals. It would, for example, mean having to ensure that linear infrastructure development would be 'animal friendly' by providing pathways for movement of species. In addition, linear infrastructure would need to be 'green' to mitigate any negative impacts on sensitive habitats. Importantly, designing 'green' infrastructure can encourage community support for—and reduce opposition to- economic development.

ii) 'Green' infrastructure: What does it mean?

Green infrastructure may sound like a new or an uncommon term, but it is certainly not a new concept! It has its roots in the founding principle of conservation planning that emphasises preserving and linking natural areas to benefit biodiversity. This approach helps to counter the negative impacts of habitat fragmentation.

Green infrastructure can thus be understood simply as a 'design and develop with nature' concept: it looks at conservation values and actions in concert with land development, growth management and built infrastructure planning (Benedict 2000). It aims to respect and avoid important biodiversity and sensitive habitats, including natural corridors across the landscape. It also aims to maintain, strengthen and restore ecosystems at all spatial scales, thereby ensuring sustained benefits to people from the goods and services they provide. Green infrastructure helps to build robust healthy and enduring landscapes which enable species and their communities to move and adapt (Lucius et al. 2011).

In addition to ecological issues, green infrastructure has to take into account a number of human dimensions such as safety and economic considerations, and ways to utilise the landscape in an optimum way. Human safety is particularly relevant in the planning of roads and railways: wild animal-vehicle collisions have a significant cost for both human and animal populations.

Draft

Green infrastructure offers a blueprint for 'smart conservation' (Benedict and McMahon 2002) in the same way that engineering plans provide a blueprint for future roads, railway lines, canals and powerlines. It can create a sound framework for future growth while making sure that significant natural resources will be conserved for future generations.

Green Infrastructure: Principles

1. Large to local scale
   - ✓ Understands the wider landscape and the development context
2. Proactive
   - ✓ Identifies and avoids areas that are significant for biodiversity
   - ✓ Identifies and protects natural processes and resources of value or benefit to people
   - ✓ Identifies, protects and/or restores natural corridors and networks
3. Harmonising
   - ✓ Considers the human dimensions (safety and economy)
   - ✓ Seeks to integrate human and ecological values and goals
   - ✓ Provides a framework for sustainable development
4. Rigorous
   - ✓ Applies good science, land use theory and practice

Key Message

Green infrastructure promotes both smart growth and smart conservation

Draft

References:

Benedict, M. A. 2000. Green Infrastructure: A Strategic Approach to Land Conservation. American Planning Association PAS Memo.

Benedict, M. A. and E. T. McMahon. 2002. Green Infrastructure: Smart Conservation for the 21st Century. Sprawl Watch Clearinghouse Monograph Series. Sprawl Watch Clearinghouse, Washington, D.C.

Habib, B., A. Saxena, I. Mondal, A. Rajvanshi, V. B. Mathur and H. S. Negi. 2015. Proposed Mitigation Measures for Maintaining Habitat Contiguity and Reducing Wild Animal Mortality on NH 6 & 7 in the Central Indian Landscape. Technical Report, Wildlife Institute of India, Dehradun and National Tiger Conservation Authority, Govt. of India, New Delhi, pp 100. TR 2015/006.

Huntley, B. J. and K. H. Redford. 2014. Mainstreaming Biodiversity in Practice: A STAP Advisory Document. Global Environment Facility, Washington, D.C.

Joshi, R. and A. Dixit. 2012. Wildlife Mortality on National Highway 72 and 74 across the Rajaji National Park and the Haridwar Conservation Area, North India. International Journal of Conservation Science **3(2):** 127-139.

Kosmus, M., I. Renner and S. Ullrich. 2012. Integrating Ecosystem Services into Development Planning. A Stepwise Approach for Practitioners based on the TEEB Approach, GIZ.

Lucius, I., R. Dan, D. Caratas, F. Mey, J. Steinert and P. Torkler. 2011. Green Infrastructure- Sustainable Investments for the Benefit of Both People and Nature. European Regional Development Fund and SURF -Nature Project.

Rajvanshi, A., V. B. Mathur, G. C. Teleki and S. K. Mukherjee. 2001. Roads, Sensitive Habitats and Wildlife Environmental Guideline for India and South Asia. Wildlife Institute of India, Dehradun and Canadian Environmental Collaborative Ltd., Toronto.

Draft

Rajvanshi, A., V. B. Mathur and A. Pragatheesh. 2013. Ecological Effects of Road through Sensitive Habitats: Implications for Wildlife Conservation. Wildlife Institute of India. Dehradun, India.

WII. 2007. Review of the Mitigation Measures Proposed for the Widening of NH-14 through Balaram-Ambaji Wildlife Sanctuary, Gujarat State. WII-EIA Technical Report 33. Wildlife Institute of India, Dehradun.

Draft

2.PROGRESSIVE TRENDS IN LINEAR DEVELOPMENT SECTORS IN INDIA

Roadways, railways and powerlines constitute the predominant linear infrastructure in India, with plans for substantial expansion; they therefore pose the greatest threat of harmful impacts on wildlife. For these reasons, this guideline focuses on these three forms of linear infrastructure development.

An understanding of the scale and type of linear development is essential if ecological concerns are to be mainstreamed in all new initiatives of the transportation and energy transmission sectors. This factor becomes even more pertinent for a country the size of India, where road, rail and power infrastructure is becoming the most pervasive form of linear features that traverse the entire length and breadth of the country. These linear structures are essential links for transporting people, transmitting energy and improving access for rapid economic development. The expansion of the road and rail networks, upgrading of existing roads and railway lines, and planning of superior and more sophisticated surface transportation options such as expressways and metro rails have thus become priorities for central agencies such as the National Highway Authority of India (NHAI) and the Indian Railways.

According to the National Transport Development Policy Committee (NTDPC 2012), the demand for road-based transport services has dramatically accelerated following economic liberalisation, and has provided for as much as 90% of the total passenger traffic, leaving a meagre 10% for rail. Rail and road freight traffic is expected to grow at about 12% and 8% per annum respectively, to achieve a 50% share each in the total freight traffic at the end of 15th Plan.

Draft

Other factors that are strongly contributing to growth in transportation infrastructure are growth of freight traffic due to industrialisation, increase in urban conglomerations and rising demand for urban mass transportation, increasing requirements of safety and modernisation, and increasing participation of the private sector.

The subsequent sections of this chapter present the trends in development of roads, railways and powerlines.

ROAD NETWORK IN INDIA

With the rapid progress in the development of new road lengths and upgrades of existing corridors, India represents the second largest road system in the world with *ca* 5.2 million km, after the United States of America with the largest road network of over 6.0 million km of public roads. The density of India's highway network at 0.66 km of highway per km^2 of land is, however, on a par with that of the United States (0.65) and much greater than China (0.16) or Brazil (0.20).

India has about 52.32 lakh km of road network. The length of various categories of roads is as under:-

i. National Highways: 1,00,475 km
ii. State Highways: 1,48,256 km
iii. Other Roads: 49,83,579 km

Contract for 5331 km length of National Highways have been awarded while 3480 km have been constructed in 2015-16.

The major highway programmes currently underway include:

i. National Highways Development Programme
ii. SARDP-NE (Special programme for NE)
iii. LWE (Left Wing and Extremist affected areas

The Government launched National Highways Development Project (NHDP) to upgrade and strengthen National Highways. The phase-wise details of NHDP are as follows:

Draft

Sl. No.	NHDP Component	Total length (km)	Completed length (km) as on 30.10.15	Under implementation (km)	Balance for award of civil works (km)	Estimated Cost (Rs. in Crores)
1.	GQ under NHDP Phase I	5,846	5,846	0	0	30,300 (NHDP Phase I) + 34,339 (NHDP Phase II) = 64639
2.	NS-EW Corridors under NHDP Phase I & II	7,142	6,414	461	267	
3.	Port Connectivity under NHAI	402	379	23	0	
4.	Other NHs with NHAI	1859	1518	341	0	
5.	NHDP Phase III	12,403	6,634	3,602	2,167	80,626
6.	NHDP Phase IV	20,000	2,441	8,034	9,525	27,800
7.	NHDP Phase V	6,500	2,264	1,401	2,835	41,210
8.	NHDP Phase VI	1,000	0	135	865	16,680
9.	NHDP Phase VII	700	22	19	659	16,680
	Total	**55,852**[#]	**25,518**	**14,016**	**16,318**	**247,635**

(# included 48428 km of total NHs, 24km length of Chennai—Ennore port connectivity road, 700kms NH length under NHDP –VII, other than overlapping length of NHs (5700 km NH length is common under NHDP-I and NHDP-V).

The Government has approved a scheme for development of about 1,177km of NHs and 4,276km of State Roads in Left Wing Extremism (LWE) affected areas as a Special Project with an estimated cost of about Rs. 7,300 Crore.

The following are some key strategies for growth in the road sector:

- Develop a total expressway network of about 18,637 km by 2031 to provide unhindered movement of traffic;
- Develop road connectivity to 24 airports;
- Provide urban linkages to national expressways;
- Upgrade entire SH of 1,00,000 km (i.e. inclusive of the additional length of new highways of about 29,000 km proposed to be added) to at least 2-lane standards;
- Develop full access-controlled facilities, whenever 2-lane NHs are upgraded to 4-lanes;

Draft

- Plan for bypasses in cities with a population above 1 million on the NH Network, and
- Identify a Core Network of major arterial routes, with a Corridor Concept.

Under its new initiatives, the following programmes are included:

I. **Bharat Mala: 5500 km -** to develop roads along the international borders and coastal areas

II. **Special Scheme:** 6000 km - roads facilitating connectivity to religious/tourist places and providing connectivity to backward region

III. **District Connectivity:** development of National Highways providing connectivity to district headquarters

IV. **Setu Bharatam :**All the level crossings and narrow/weak bridges to be replaced by Railway Over /Under Bridges and new constructions

For further details on road development programmes, refer Annexure-I

RAIL NETWORK IN INDIA

The Indian Railways (IR) is the third largest railway network in the world. Its network of over 64000 route-km has integrated markets and connected communities across the length and breadth of the country. IR operates 12,000 passenger trains every day and 7,000 freight trains. It transports 2.8 million tons of freight traffic and 30 million passengers every day.

Indian railways run on three gauges: the meter and narrow gauges are mostly single line and non-electrified; broad gauge contributes about 91% of total track km and accounts for 97.9% of passenger and almost 100% of the freight traffic.

Draft

Table 2.2. Gauge-wise Indian Railways Network (Percentage share).

GAUGE	ROUTE KM	RUNNING TRACK KM	TOTAL TRACK KM
Broad Gauge (1676 mm)	86.62	89.96	90.99
Meter Gauge (1000 mm)	9.83	7.49	6.78
Narrow Gauge (762 mm and 610 mm)	3.56	2.56	2.23
Total (km)	**64,600**	**89,801**	**115,062**

Source: Ministry of Road Transport and Highways (2012)

The Government has been investing heavily to expand and upgrade railway infrastructure (Figures 2.1 and 2.2, and Table 2.3).

Draft



Figure 2.1. Targets for commissioning (km) train lines

Table 2.3. Targets for commissioning of railway lines (in km)

	New Line	Gauge Conversion	Doubling
2014-2015	380	880	723
2015-2016	500	800	1200
2016-2017	400	800	1800
2017-2018	400	800	2300
2018-2019	400	800	2800

Source: http://www.indianrailways.gov.in

Draft



Figure 2.2. Targets for electrification (Route km) Source: http://www.indianrailways.gov.in

According to Vision 2020 document of the Ministry of Railways, Government of India (2009) and the Union Budget for year 2015, the Indian Railways would strive to:

- Add 25,000 km of new lines by 2020, supported by government funding and a major increase in Public Private Partnerships (PPPs);
- Convert the entire rail network to broad gauge;
- Have more than 6,000 km for quadrupled lines, with segregation of passenger and freight services into a separate double-line corridor.
- Raise speeds of passenger trains from 130 -110 km/h to 160-200 km/h on segregated routes, and speed of freight trains from 60-70 km/h to 100 km/h;
- Achieve electrification of 33,000 km of routes, and
- Construct 4 high-speed rail projects to provide bullet trains at the speed of 250 km/h.

ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION LINES IN INDIA

India's power transmission networks constitute the vital arteries of the entire power value chain. As power is one of the key sectors driving India's economic growth, the country has seen an exponential growth resulting in the decline in peak power deficit from 14% to 5% in the last decade (CEA 2015). Presently, India ranks fifth in the world following USA, China, Japan and Russia for having an installed power generation capacity of 271 GW (CEA 2015). As per the 12th Five-Year Plan, the future expansion in power generation capacity in India is planned around 88GW.

Despite the growth in the power sector, many parts of the country continue to have power shortages. One of the major reasons for this situation is reported to be inadequate transmission capacity, not matching the generation capacities and load requirements. The transmission capacity over the last 5 years has grown by ~30%, as compared to ~50% growth in

Draft

generation. It has thus remained a bottleneck in the flow of power from the power-surplus regions to the power-deficit regions. In order to meet this capacity, investment in the transmission sector needs to be increased.

The transmission line capacity expanded from 52,034 Circuit kilometres (ckm) during the sixth plan to 221,549 ckm during the eleventh plan (up to Jan 2010), as shown in Figure 2.3.



Figure 2.3. Transmission line growth.

Under the 12th Plan the power transmission network across the country spans 3,20,099 ckm as on July, 2015 (www.powermin.nic.in).

High capacity transmission corridors comprising 765kV AC and ± 800kV 6000MW HVDC system along with 400kV AC, and ± 500kV/600kV 2500Mw/6000MW have been planned to facilitate transfer of power from remotely-located generation

complexes to bulk load centres (Table 2.4). This increase will also facilitate strengthening of the National Grid capacity to more than 37,000MW by 2012.

Table 2.4. Transmission Addition Programme in India.

Transmission Lines	**Addition by 2012 (Ckm)**	**Addition by 2017 (Ckm)**
765 kV	7,612	25000 – 30000
HVDC Bipole	11,078	4000 – 6000
400 kV	1,25,000	50000
220 kV	1,50,000	40000
Total	2,93,852	119,000 – 126,000
Substations	**Addition by 2012**	**Addition by 2017**
HVDC	14,700 MW	16,000 – 22,000 MW
765 kV	53,000 MVA	1,10,000 MVA
400 kV	1,45,000 MVA	80,000 MVA
220 kV	2,30,000 MVA	95,000 MVA
Total Capacity	4,28,000 MVA	2,85,000 MVA
Inter-Regional Transfer Capacity	**38,000 MW**	**75,000 MW**

Source: http://www.npti.in/

Overall, an addition of 90,000 ckm of transmission lines is needed to meet the power generation requirements envisaged during 2012-2017der (FICCI 2013). Already, 90317 ckm total powerline length pass through forested areas (www.powergridindia.com).

Draft

References:

CEA. 2015. Growth of Electricity Sector in India from 1947-2015. Central Electricity Authority, Ministry of Power, Government of India.

FICCI. 2013. POWER TRANSMISSION THE REAL BOTTLENECK: An Overview of the Indian Power Transmission Sector, its Challenges, and Recommendations. Available from: http://www.ficci.com/spdocument/20311/Power-Transmission-Report_270913.pdf

Ministry of Road Transport and Highways. 2012. Basic Road Statistics of India (2008-09, 2009-10 & 2010- 11). Transport Research Wing, Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India, New Delhi.

National Transport Development Policy Committee (NTDPC). 2012. Report of the Working Group on Civil Aviation. Government of India, New Delhi.

Draft

3.REGULATORY PROCEDURES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE OF PROJECTS

The legal framework of the country consists of several acts, notifications, rules, and regulations to protect the environment and wildlife. The most relevant of these in the context of roads and powerlines include the EIA Notification of 1994 (and its amendments), Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980, and the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 (and its amendments). The key elements of the legal framework, the administrative arrangements for the appraisal of EIA reports and decision making, the procedure for granting of forest clearances, and the co-ordination of different regulatory requirements, are addressed in separate sections below.

EIA NOTIFICATION OF 1994 AND ITS AMENDMENTS

As per the provisions of this Notification, as amended up to 1 December 2009, any person who desires to undertake the execution of any new road, upgrades of existing road sections, widening and conversion to multi-lanes, and laying of powerlines in any part of India shall submit an application to the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEFCC), Government of India, in accordance with the guidelines issued from time to time by the Ministry.

All road and powerline projects fall into one of the categories of Schedule I of this Notification. The categories are as follows:

Category A projects: Environmental Clearance (EC) would be granted by the MoEFCC at central level through an Expert Appraisal Committee (EAC) constituted by the MoEFCC in case of the following road projects:

- new National Highways, and
- expansion of National Highways greater than 30 km, involving additional right-of-way greater than 20 m, involving land acquisition, and passing through more than one state.

Draft

Category B1 projects: the State Environmental Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) constituted by MoEFCC at State level would grant EC to the following projects. State-level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEACs) would be involved in appraising EIAs.

- new State Highways, and
- expansion of National/State Highways greater than 30 km, involving additional right of way greater than 20 m, and involving land acquisition.

If there is no State-level authority constituted to grant EC, then all categories of projects in the State would be dealt with at central level.

Category B2 projects are those that are not listed in either A or B1 categories. They do not require an EIA: they are appraised based on the application in Form-I accompanied by a pre-feasibility report and other documents. Powerline projects and roads in border areas fall into this category.

If the proposed projects within categories B1 and B2 are to be located within close proximity to— or within— protected areas, sensitive habitats, critically polluted areas and/ or culturally significant sites, then they are assigned to Category A (Figure 3.1).

Draft



Figure 3.1. Special criteria under which Categories B1 and B2 projects are to be considered under Category A

FOREST (CONSERVATION) ACT, 1980-

According to the Forest (Conservation) Act of 1980, every project requiring diversion of designated forest land for non-forestry purposes requires clearance from MoEFCC. The forestry clearance is granted through a two-stage process:

Draft

- Stage I involves reaching agreement '*in principle*' on the project proposal. At this stage, the conditions relating to the transfer, mutation and declaration as Reserved/Protected Forest under the Indian Forest Act, 1972 are addressed. In addition, requirements for securing equivalent non-forest land for compensatory afforestation, and funds for that compensatory afforestation, are stipulated.
- Stage II involves formal approval under the Act after receipt of a Compliance Report from the State Government in respect of the stipulated conditions. Under this law, project proponents must obtain administrative approval from the Forest Department to clear over five hectares of designated forest land. Although the land is under the control of State Government, approval of Central, Regional or State Government for using the land for road or rail corridor must be granted due to its protected status.

ADMINISTRATIVE ARRANGEMENTS FOR APPRAISAL OF EIA REPORTS AND DECISION MAKING

The Impact Assessment Agency (IAA) falls under the Government of India's Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEFCC). It has the overall responsibility to administer and enforce the provisions related to EIA through its three Impact Assessment (IA) Divisions. The Forest Conservation Division in the MoEFCC examines projects that involve diversion of forest land for non-forest uses, along with these IA Divisions.

The central government and various state-level supervising and approving agencies assist in the appraisal of EIA prior to granting of EC to the projects. The procedures for obtaining EC for Categories A and B road and powerline projects are given in Figures 3.2 and 3.3.

Draft



***The proponent should apply for CRZ clearance, as per**

Figure 3.2. Prior Environmental Clearance Process for Category A Projects

Draft



***The proponent should apply for CRZ clearance, as per applicability**

Figure 3.3. Prior Environmental Clearance Process for Category B

Draft

PROCEDURE FOR GRANT OF FOREST CLEARANCE

According to the stipulated procedures issued by MoEFCC (office memorandum J·II015/20012008·IA.II (M) dated 19th March 2011) for consideration of projects for environmental clearance of forest land, the project proponent shall first explore the feasibility to execute the project without affecting forest land (i.e. avoiding impacts on forest).

If it is not feasible to undertake the project without use of forest land, the project proponent shall submit an application seeking prior approval under the Forest (Conservation) Act of 1980 for diversion of forest land, before submitting the application for grant of Terms of Reference as per the procedure stipulated in the EIA Notification of 2006. All proposals involving the development of roads and railway lines located either within a Protected Area (PA) or within 10 km from the boundary of a PA are referred to the National Board for Wildlife (NBWL) and the Honourable Supreme Court of India.

The procedure for obtaining clearance for diversion of forest is presented in **Figure 3.4.**

Draft



Figure 3.4. Procedure for obtaining forest clearance for development activities

Soon after the above referred memorandum was issued, MoEFCC received representations from various stakeholders to delink granting of environment clearance from that of forest clearance in case of linear projects such as roads, transmission lines, pipelines etc. involving patches of forest land along their alignment, often stretching to several hundred kilometers. In response to these representations, MoEFCC issued revised stipulations through an office memorandum dated 9 September 2011. This memorandum state that, pending grant of Stage I approval under the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980, for non-

Draft

forestry use of the forest land, environment clearance for linear projects may be issued subject to the following additional conditions:

i) Work on non-forest land may only be executed up to such point (to be selected by the user agency) on either side of forest land if it is explicitly certified by the user agency that, in case approval under the Act for diversion of forest land is declined, it is technically feasible to execute the project along an alternate alignment without involving diversion of forest land. Details of all such stretches, along with alternate alignments identified to bypass the forest land, should be explicitly provided in the proposal seeking approval under the Act, and the EIA Notification, 2006.

ii) Commencement of work on non-forest land will not confer any rights on the user agency with regard to grant of approval under the Act.

iii) The projects involving widening and/ or, upgrading of existing roads will only be allowed to be executed on the entire stretch located in non-forest land, provided that the user agency submits an undertaking that execution of work on non-forest land shall not be cited as a reason for granting approval under the Act. In case approval under the Act for diversion of forest land is declined, the width of the portion of road falling in the forest land will be maintained at its existing level.

ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE FOR BORDER ROADS:

The MoEFCC (vide letter No. 11-246/2014-FC dated 4th July, 2014, and vide letter F.No. 11-246/2014-FC dated 29th January, 2015) has recently granted general approval under the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980, for diversion of forest land required for:

i. Construction and widening of two lane roads by the Border Roads Organisation (BRO) and other road construction agencies in the area falling within 100 km aerial distance from the Line of Actual Control (LAC),
ii. Widening of roads which are identified by the Ministry of Defence as link roads, between border roads in the area within 100 km aerial distance from the LAC and NH/SH/other state roads (subject to certain conditions), and
iii. Construction of roads for Indo Tibet Border Police (ITBP) within 100 km aerial distance from the LAC

Draft

PLEASE NOTE: While the provisions of the EIA Notification (1994) and its subsequent amendments do not apply to railway projects, the provisions of the Forest (Conservation) Act (1980) and all procedures stipulated for transfer of forest land are applicable to railway projects routed through forest land. These provisions will also apply to gauge conversion, and electrification of existing railway lines.

Acronyms used in Figures 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4
Addl. DGF (FC): Additional Director General of Forests (Forest Conservation)
Addl. PCCF: Additional Principal Chief Conservator of Forests
BRO: Border Roads Organisation
CCF: Chief Conservator of Forests
CF: Conservator of Forests
CRZ: Coastal Regulation Zone
DC: District Commissioner
DFO: Divisional Forest Officer
DM: District Magistrate
Dy. Com: Deputy Commissioner
EAC: Expert Appraisal Committee
EC: Environmental Clearance
FAC: Forest Advisory Committee
FRA: Forest Rights Act
IAA: Impact Assessment Agency
ITBP: Indo-Tibet Border Police
LAC: Line of Actual Control
MoEFCC: Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change
NBWL: National Board of Wildlife
SEAC: State-level Expert Appraisal Committee
SEIAA: State Environmental Impact Assessment Authority
SPCB: State Pollution Control Board
TOR: Terms of Reference
UTPCC: Union Territory Pollution Control Committee
ZP: Zila Parishad

Draft

4.OVERVIEW OF ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS OF LINEAR INFRASTRUCTURE

Understanding the nature of ecological impacts of linear infrastructure is essential to the identification of measures to avoid, reduce or remedy them. The development of roads, railway lines and transmission lines can impact upon wildlife in a variety of ways; these effects have been well studied and documented (Jalkotzy et al. 1997; Seiler 2002).

The impacts of roads and railways on wildlife are similar, as they convert a strip of land into an area where fast-moving cars or trains can collide with and kill or injure animals. Roads and railways also emit noise, light and chemical pollution (Dorsey et al. 2015), and can act as barriers to movement.

Railways are generally believed to provide a more eco-friendly mode of transport than roads and highways (Borken-Kleefeld et al. 2010). This view is true only in terms of fuel efficiency and air emissions: railways are known to have a negative impact on ecosystems during the construction phase (e.g. laying of tracks and erecting buildings, bridges and other infrastructure) and in the operational phase (e.g. running of trains, maintenance of tracks, etc.).

Impacts of railways have been documented mostly in Europe, North America, Australia, and more recently in China (e.g. Andrews 1990; De Santo & Smith 1993; Carpenter 1994; Jackson 2000; Berthoud 2003; Trocmé et al. 2003; Xia et al. 2007; Yang & Xia 2008). Studies on the ecological impacts of railways on wild animals in India are, however, generally lacking, with the exception of a few studies that have highlighted mortalities of major animals like elephants and tigers on railway tracks (e.g. Singh et al. 2001). In part, this paucity of studies is thought to be due to the fact that railways have been kept out of the scope of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) rules promulgated by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEFCC) on 27.1.1994 and re-notified on 14.9.2006.

Draft

Globally, literature on the ecological effects of roads and traffic is expanding rapidly (Nietvelt 2002). In India, interest in the area of road ecology is relatively recent (Baskaran & Boominathan 2010; Gubbi et al. 2012; Joshi & Dixit 2012; Prakash 2012; Krishna et al. 2013; Rajvanshi et al. 2013).

Transmission lines have been criticised for fragmenting forests and other natural habitats, and for causing collisions and electrocutions of birds and other animals (Faanes 1987; Bevanger 1994; Manitoba Hydro 1995; Janss 2000; Janss & Ferrer 2000; Dixon et al. 2013; Harness et al. 2013; WII 2014).

The various types of ecological impacts typically associated with linear developments are discussed in two separate sections below, covering roads and railways, and powerlines. A concluding section gives an overview of the ecological impacts of these forms of linear infrastructure on different animal groups.

IMPACTS OF ROADS AND RAILWAY LINES

i) Direct loss of habitat

The construction of new roads and railways destroys or damages vegetation such as trees, shrubs and grasses (Figure 4.1); this vegetation may provide valuable habitat for wildlife. Any linear development through a closed forest that would lead to loss of habitat would result in a reduced carrying capacity of the landscape to sustain wildlife. The degree of impact is likely to be proportional to the width and length of the disturbance corridor.

Draft

Figure 4.1. Direct loss of habitat resulting from clearing of vegetation for roads and powerlines.
Source: Photographs by (clockwise from top) Bilal Habib, Phuntso Thinley, Asha Rajvanshi, Phuntso Thinley

ii) Degradation of habitat quality

The condition of wildlife habitats adjacent to roads and railways often suffers as a result of construction activities such as trenching and digging and the presence of construction camps. Impacts typically include invasion by exotic weeds, and pollution due to liquid or solid wastes or emissions (Figure 4.2). The effects of heavy metals such as lead from motor vehicle on trees and soils, and numerous chemical elements arising from roads, vehicles, fuels and corrosion, and wear and tear of vehicle components, are recognised impacts. In addition, the habitat may become less attractive to wild animals due to noise, lights or human presence.

Likewise, railways may pollute water and air, cause noise, act as a source of garbage and human wastes, and facilitate the spread of invasive species within wildlife habitats.

Water, land and air pollution may adversely impact the general health and fertility of wild animals (and livestock). Trains transporting petroleum products, compressed/ liquefied gases, chemicals and other toxic or hazardous materials are akin to factories in their potential impacts.

iii) Noise-induced physiological and behavioural changes

The impact of noise on wild animals is a contentious issue: Berthoud (2003) reported that, in general, wild animals seem to adapt well to the frequent passing of trains. Hanson (2008) refers to the debate between the sceptics on the one hand, who hold that habituation to transportation noise is common among wild animals, and the environmentalists on the other hand, who insist that loud noise interferes with the communication systems of wild animals, brings out a ‘flight or fight’ response, and interferes with the behaviour of both predator and prey. He points out that research has been inconclusive regarding effects on wild animals from transportation noise sources. But the fact remains that a large number of wild animals rely

Draft

heavily on auditory signals for their sustenance, defence and reproduction. The precautionary principle suggests that all artificial sources of noise in a natural ecosystem should be viewed with scepticism.

Figure 4.2. Pollution during construction phase of road building. Source: Photographs by T R Shankar Raman

Draft

iv) Habitat loss and fragmentation

Roads and railway lines dissect contiguous habitat patches, resulting in smaller patch sizes and higher edge-to-interior ratios, making them increasingly vulnerable to outside disturbance. The fragmentation of habitat into spatially isolated parts is a major cause of the decline of biodiversity; e.g. habitat loss and fragmentation of amphibian populations worldwide has led to a substantial decline in their numbers during the last century (Stuart et al. 2004).

Habitat fragmentation has become a global issue associated with most linear forms of infrastructure development, including road and rail. The fragmentation process initiates a shrinking in available habitat, which in turn leads to a progressive reduction in species diversity in the landscape, with consequent isolation of species (Figures 4.3 and 4.4). The contraction in available habitat together with isolation becomes the most important impact (Harris 1984)—even animal groups such as low-flying, ground-dwelling birds with large territories, and birds with heavy bodies and small wings, are likely to be affected.

Draft



Figure 4.3. Habitat fragmentation results in far greater reduction in area of available habitat for species in bisected patches
Source: Rajvanshi et al. 2013

Figure 4.4. Fragmentation of forests by rail and road infrastructure. Source: Photographs by A. Pragatheesh and Mohamed Zahir.

Draft

v) Road or rail avoidance

Repeated disturbances along road or railway corridors may deter animals from using habitats in their vicinity. Avoidance of habitats close to transport corridors may vary diurnally, seasonally and in relation to traffic in these corridors. Some animals avoid habitat in the vicinity of roads because of human activity associated with them. Complete avoidance of habitats traversed by roads can dramatically curtail dispersal, ultimately leading to the isolation and reduced viability of the affected population. The impacts of roads on birds are substantively influenced by behavioural traits such as road surface avoidance,

traffic disturbance avoidance, vehicle avoidance and road attraction (by scavenging birds). The effect of traffic noise on communication among birds has been well studied (Brumm 2004; Slabbekoorn & Ripmeester 2008; Kociolek et al. 2011).

vi) Injury and mortality

Road-induced mortality of animals has always been of concern to biologists (e.g. Stoner 1925; Trombulak & Frissell 2000). Road and rail induced mortality is probably the most acknowledged effect on wildlife: kills ranging from those of snakes to small rodent to deer, to large cats and mega herbivores such as elephant are a common view along road and on the rail tracks (Figure 4.5). Deaths of elephants due to trains have been well documented (Singh et al. 2001; Williams et al. 2001; Roy et al. 2009; Sharma 2009; Joshi 2010; Rangarajan et al. 2010).

The number of casualties appears to be growing constantly as traffic increases and infrastructure expands. According to Forman and Alexander (1998), roads have overtaken hunting as the leading direct human cause of vertebrate mortality on land. The number of kills on most roads is likely to be higher than immediately visible, as many animals that are hit by vehicles die later and elsewhere from injuries or shock. In India, mortality of herpetofaunal species has been extensively recorded (Das et al. 2007; Bhupathy et al. 2011; Pragatheesh & Rajvanshi 2013). Road deaths in snakes have been attributed to their thermoregulatory needs (Rosen and Lowe 1994; Shine et al. 2004) and seasonal movements; these deaths have been identified as a population-level threat to several species (Clark et al. 2010, Rouse et al. 2011).

Draft

Figure 4.5. Road-induced mortality of sambar (left), langur and red fox (right). Source: Photograph by Bivash Pandav

Trains cause mortality by direct collision, electrocution, and entrapment and wire strikes (Dorsey et al. 2015). A large number of wild animals are run over by trains in India every year. In past two and half decades, India has lost over 200 elephants (*Elephas maximus)* as a consequence of hits by trains (WTI 2013) in different parts of the country (Figure 4.6).

Draft



Figure 4.6. Elephant mortality due to trains in India. Source: WTI 2013.

Cases of mortalities on railway tracks have also been recorded for many other wildlife species including tiger *(Panthera tigris)*, lion *(Panthera leo persica)*, leopard *(Panthera pardus)*, rhinoceros *(Rhinoceros unicornis)*, gaur *(Bos gaurus)*, sloth bear *(Melursus ursinus)*, fishing cat *(Prionalurus viverrina)*, leopard cat *(Prionailurus bengalensis)*, wild boar *(Sus scrofa)*, hog deer *(Axis porcinus)*, chital (*Axis axis)*, barking deer *(Muntiacus muntjak)*, sambar *(Rucervus unicolor)*, blue bull *(Boselaphus tragocamelus)*, goral *(Nemorhaedus goral)*, porcupine *(Hystrix indica)*, crocodile *(Crocodilus palustris)*, monitor lizard *(Varanus spp.)*, python *(Python molurus)* and various species of turtle, snake and frog. Many of these species are either listed in Schedule I of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972, or included as threatened species in the IUCN Red List.

Experience has shown that the frequency of accidents involving wild animals on railway tracks and roads generally increases with traffic intensity, train speed, and animal activity and density.

Draft

Wild animals—particularly elephants, bats and birds—are also vulnerable to electrocution if the railway tracks are electrified. The Indian Railways generally follows a 25kV AC traction system. The IR Vision 2020 proposes electrification of 14,000 km of tracks (Ministry of Railways 2009). A substantial part of these electrified tracks is likely to pass through forests and sensitive wildlife zones.

vii) Barrier to movement

Although roads and railways can serve as a corridor for species moving across landscapes, they may restrict, inhibit or prevent the movement of species. This barrier effect on wildlife results from a combination of factors, from traffic noise, train movement, pollution and human activity to physical hindrances and traffic mortality (Trocmé et al. 2003).

A railway line or a road can act as a strong barrier on a number of counts: the embankment that may be too high to climb, constructed of material (e.g. loose gravel or large stones) that cannot be navigated by some species, or present a visual barrier that discourages crossing by species used to long lines of sight. The railway tracks themselves may deter passage, and traffic movement or volumes may hinder or prevent crossing by many species.

The rate of animal movement through road or rail corridors may be lower than in intact natural habitat. Linear infrastructure thus acts as a filter to movement in that corridor, affecting its so-called 'permeability'.

Other factors influencing the barrier effect are presented in Figure 4.7.

Draft



Figure 4.7. Factors influencing barrier effect of roads.

Roads and railways may reduce access to saltlicks or waterholes by wild animals in general, access to summer and winter ranges by ungulates, to wetland breeding sites by amphibians, and to upland nesting habitat by turtles.

Roads and railways may break canopy connectivity, which is essential for the movement of arboreal species such as lion tailed macaque (*Macaca silenus*), hoolock gibbon (*Hoolock hoolock* and *Hoolock leuconedys*) and giant squirrels (*Ratufa spp.)*, amongst others (Figure 4.8).

Draft

Figure 4.8. Roads or railways may break the canopy connectivity that is vital for arboreal mammals. Source: Photograph by T. R. Shankar Raman

viii) Disruption of processes that maintain regional wildlife populations

Roads and railways aligned through otherwise undisturbed and integrated landscape can affect natural (ecological and evolutionary) processes, which in turn may have long-term implications for wildlife. They can change fire or burning patterns and hydrological regimes (e.g. through alteration in runoff from surfaced areas), introduce and enable the spread of invasive and alien species, and influence genetic drift. Roads and railway lines can act as a source of ignition of fire in a landscape, or can create firebreaks that prevent the spread of natural fires that may be important in wildlife management.

Draft

Animal movements among meta-populations are vital for their long-term viability. Roads and railways may block movement of some small animal species and subdivide their populations. Smaller populations are more vulnerable to genetic changes due to genetic drift and inbreeding depression, and to extinction risk.

ix) Increased human pressure

Road and rail corridors may provide access to previously inaccessible areas, increasing the pressures associated with human presence. Roads may induce ribbon development along their length; cases of encroachment on railway land either within or on the fringes of forests are common.

Road and rail corridors may also increase human access for poaching of wild plants and animals, and illegal removal of timber, firewood and other non-timber forest products (NTFPs). Increased access and human pressures are particularly likely during construction and maintenance of road and railway tracks when large number of workers enter and camp within forests.

Railways are known to be misused by the mafias in certain areas to smuggle timber and wildlife from forests[1].

x) Increased human-wildlife conflict

Roads and railways may disrupt the normal home ranges of elephant families, forcing them to explore new areas for foraging where they may come into conflict with human beings.

Human settlement or cultivation of land along road or railway corridors may also increase human-wildlife conflict (HWC) and, occasionally, lead to mortality of wild animals.

[1]For example, see "Palamau Tiger Reserve and ECR Dhanbad Division lock horns over timber smuggling" (Railnews, 16.12.2013) and "RPF, Odisha Forest Officials busted turtle smuggling gang" (Railnews, 28.8. 2013), www.railnews.co.in.

Draft

In case of already fragmented habitats, wild animals are known to use particular corridors to move between various patches. If roads or railways obstruct these corridors, the animals may stray into human localities and feed on crops and livestock, increasing conflict.

xi) Human accidents and economic losses

Road traffic injuries are a major but neglected public health challenge that requires concerted efforts for effective prevention. Of all the systems with which people have to deal every day, road traffic systems are the most complex and the most dangerous. Worldwide, an estimated 1.2 million people are killed in road accidents each year and as many as 50 million are injured or disabled (WHO 2004). Both World Health Organisation (WHO) and World Bank data show that, without appropriate action, these injuries will rise dramatically by the year 2020, particularly in rapidly-motorising countries. Not only is 90% of the current burden borne by low-income and middle-income countries, but the increase in casualty rates will be greatest in these countries (WHO 2004).

The Planning Commission, in its 2001–2003 research, estimated that traffic collisions resulted in an annual loss of $10 billion (INR 550 billion) during the years 1999–2000. In 2012, the International Road Federation (IRF) estimated that traffic collisions result in an annual loss of $20 billion (INR 1 trillion (short scale)) in India. This figure includes expenses associated with the accident victim, property damage and administration expenses (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Traffic_collisions_in_India).

IMPACTS OF TRANSMISSION LINES

Habitat loss and fragmentation

Powerlines, or specifically powerline corridors, are known to affect many different animal groups, predominantly birds. These impacts are largely associated with fragmentation and degradation of wildlife habitats (Askins et al. 2012): e.g.,

Draft

population declines of the sage grouse (*Centrocercus urophasianus, C. minimus*) across their habitat range in North America have been attributed to fragmentation of the habitat due to powerline corridors (APLIC 2006).

Powerline corridors, where vegetation has been cleared or cut back along wayleaves or servitude areas, also affect animal movement and permeability of habitat, similar to road and railway corridors.

i) Electrocution

Electrocution has grown to become a significant threat for a range of animals, from small birds to large mammals such as elephants that have been electrocuted by sagging powerlines (Raman 2011).

Powerlines cause large-scale mortality of birds around the world due to electrocution and collision (Bevanger et al. 1998; Rubolini et al. 2005; Jenkins et al. 2010; Prinsen et al. 2011; Margalida et al. 2012; Dixon et al. 2013; Loss et al. 2014). Powerlines located close to important bird areas (especially water bodies having large congregation of birds, or carcass or garbage dumps), or bisecting critical flight paths, pose a significant risk of electrocution or collision in birds.

Transmission lines (typically ≥ 66 kV) are placed on tall metal lattice towers holding multiple conductor wires on cross arms. The phase-to-phase and phase-to-ground separation in transmission lines is usually sufficient to prevent electrocution of birds (APLIC 2006). However, electrocution of birds can occur when a bird, perched on a live wire, power pole or on the metal cross-arm, comes in contact with another live or earth wire (also called grounded wire), power pole or cross-arm (Janss & Ferrer 1999; Prinsen et al. 2011). Other structural components on the distribution power poles such as the pin-type insulators and jumpers have also been reported to cause mortality in birds (Tinto et al. 2010; Harness et al. 2013).

Apart from structural aspects of the powerline, biological characteristics of birds, including body size, age class and behaviour, affect electrocution risk (Bevanger 1998). Birds with large wing spans that show preference for using power poles during hunting, or for perching and roosting—particularly raptors—are known to be more susceptible to electrocution

Draft

(Janss 2000). Raptors and storks are reported to be most at risk of electrocution, since they use power poles extensively as nesting platforms (Prinsen et al. 2011). A recent survey of 675 power poles in western Rajasthan recorded 162 birds which had been electrocuted, including five species of raptors (Harness et al. 2013). In the past ten years, six Great Indian Bustards died as a consequence of collision or electrocution by powerlines (Figure 4.9).

Figure 4.9: Electrocution due to powerlines is a threat to the Critically Endangered great Indian bustard. Source: Photograph by D. K. Chindage

Draft

Ecological factors such as the availability and distribution of prey, and landscape features, are also known to affect electrocution risk in birds: high prey availability in a particular habitat attracts many predatory birds like raptors, leading to an increased risk. In habitats devoid of tall vegetation, especially in arid landscapes and in grasslands, powerlines provide suitable perch sites (APLIC 2006), thus increasing the risk of electrocution (Figure 4.10).

Draft

Figure 4.10. Powerlines and power poles are commonly used by birds of prey like (a) black-shouldered kite (b) buzzard (c) shikra (d) common kestrel (e) short-toed snake eagle and (f) eagle. Source: Photographs by (a) Chetan Misher (b) Akshit Suthar (c) Chetan Misher (d) Hemant Bajpai (e) Chetan Misher (f) Akshit Suthar.

Electrocution of wild animals is not limited to birds, however: mammals such as bats, apes and elephants are also vulnerable (Figure 4.11).

Figure 4.11. Mortality of mammals due to electrocution by transmission lines. Source: Photographs by (a) http://indiasendangered.com (b) Chetan Misher (c) Shankar Raman (d) Pavan Josh.

ii) Collision

Collision occurs when a bird in flight physically connects with overhead powerlines, resulting in the death or serious injury; collision is most common with high voltage transmission lines. Collision occurs specifically with the earth wire on the transmission lines that is less visible to birds (Faanes 1987). In India, 1% of the total sarus crane (*Grus antigone)* population is reported to be killed every year due to collision with powerlines (Sundar et al. 2005). Tere and Parasharya (2011) recorded mortality of 150 flamingos (*Phoeniconaias minor* and *Phoenicopterus roseus*) due to collision with high-tension powerlines passing through their breeding colony in Gujarat, western India (Figure 4.12).

Figure 4.12. Mortality of flamingos after collision with transmission lines. Source: Photograph by R.D.Meriya.

The risk of bird collisions with powerlines is affected by biological and ecological factors. Collisions are mainly reported to occur in fast-flying species with poor manoeuvrability and poor forward vision (Prinsen et al. 2011): bustards, eagles and

Draft

cranes, which have a large blind sector in their forward-facing visual field (Martin & Shaw 2010), are more prone to collision with powerlines. Migratory birds are said to be more susceptible to collisions as juveniles are unfamiliar with new landscapes; this factor is reported to be the main cause of mortality in fledged whooping cranes (*Grus americana)* that migrate from Canada to Texas (Stehn & Wassenich 2008). Reduced visibility in poor weather— particularly foggy conditions in winter—is reported to have caused a high number of bird collisions with powerlines (Prinsen et al. 2011).

SUMMARY OF ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS OF LINEAR INFRASTRUCTURE ON DIFFERENT ANIMAL GROUPS

The relative impacts of linear infrastructure development on different animal groups, and the degrees to which these groups are affected, are summarised in Table 4.1.

Draft

Table 4.1. Relative impacts of roads, railway lines and powerlines on different animal groups.

Taxa		Major impacts															
		Habitat loss			Habitat fragmentation			Disturbance-induced behavioural changes			Injury/ Mortality			Impediment to movement		
																
Mammals	Large mammals																
	Medium and small mammals																
Arboreal animals/gliders																	
Birds																	
Reptiles																	
Amphibians																	
Invertebrates																	

Key	
	High impact
	Moderate impact
	Possible impact

Draft

References:

Andrews, A. 1990. Fragmentation of habitat by roads and utility corridors: a review. Aust. Zool. **26(3&4)**:130-141.

Askins, R. A., C. M. Folsom-O'Keefe and M. C. Hardy. 2012. Effects of vegetation, corridor width and regional land use on early successional birds on powerline corridors. PLoS ONE **7(2):** e31520. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031520.

Avian Power Line Interaction Committee (APLIC). 2006. Suggested Practices for Avian Protection on Power Lines: The State of the Art in 2006. Edison Electric Institute, APLIC, and the California Energy Commission, Washington, D. C. and Sacramento, CA U.S.A.

Baskaran, N. and Boominathan. 2010. Road kill of animals by highway traffic in the tropical forests of Mudumalai Tiger Reserve, southern India. Journal of Threatened Taxa **2(3):** 753-759.

Berthoud, G. 2003. Introduction of biological and landscape diversity considerations in the development and management of rail transport networks in Europe in Studies on Transport and Biological and Landscape Diversity. Nature and the Environment **132:** 81-104.

Bevanger, K. 1998. Biological and conservation aspects of bird mortality caused by electricity power lines: a review. Biological Conservation **86(1):** 67-76.

Bevanger, K. 1994. Bird interactions with utility structures: collision and electrocution, causes and mitigating measures. Ibis **136(4)**: 412-425.

Bevanger, K., H. Brøseth and O. Sandaker. 1998. Bird Mortality due to Collisions with Power Lines in Mørkedalen, Hemsedalsfjellet, Norway. Norwegian Institute for Nature Research, Project Report, 531: 1–41. [In Norwegian, English summary.]

Draft

Bhupathy, S., G. Srinivas, N. Sathish, T. Karthik and A. Madhivanan. 2011. Herpetofaunal mortality due to vehicular traffic in the Western Ghats, India: a case study. Herpetotropicos **5:** 119-126.

Borken-Kleefel, J, T. Bernsten and T Fuglestvedt. 2010. Specific climate impact of passenger and freight transport. Environmental Science and Technology **44(15):** 5700-5706.

Brumm, H. 2004. The impact of environmental noise on song amplitude in a territorial bird. Journal of Animal Ecology **73:** 434-440.

Carpenter, T. 1994. The Environmental Impacts of Railways. John Wiley, Chichester.

Clark R.W., W. S. Brown, R. Stechert and K. R. Zamudio. 2010. Roads, interrupted dispersal, and genetic diversity in timber rattlesnakes. Conserv Biol. **24:** 1059–1069.

Das, A., M. F. Ahmed, B. P. Lahkar and P. Sharma. 2007. A preliminary report of reptilian mortality on road due to vehicular movement near Kaziranga National Park, Assam, India. Zoos' Print Journal **22:** 2742-2744.

De Santo, R.S. and D. G. Smith. 1993. Environmental auditing: an introduction to issues of habitat fragmentation relative to transportation corridors with special reference to high-speed rail (HSR). Environmental Management **17:**111-114.

Dixon, A., R. Maming, A. Gunga, G. Purev-ochir and N. Batbayar. 2013. The problem of raptor electrocution in Asia: case studies from Mongolia and China. Bird Conservation International **23:** 520–529.

Dorsey, B., M. Olsson and L. J. Rew. 2015. Ecological effects of railways on wildlife. In R van der Ree, D. J. Smith and C. Grilo, editors. Handbook of Road Ecology. Wiley Blackwell, UK.

Faanes, C. A. 1987. Bird Behavior and Mortality in Relation to Power Lines in Prairie Habitats. United States Department of the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service, Fish and Wildlife Technical Report 7. Washington, D.C.

Draft

Forman, R. T. T. and L. E. Alexander. 1998. Roads and their major ecological effects. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics **29:** 207-231.

Gubbi, S., H. C. Poornesha and M. D. Madhusudan. 2012. Impact of vehicular traffic on the use of highway edges by large mammals in a South Indian wildlife reserve. Current Science **102(7):** 1047-1051.

Hanson, C. E. 2008. High speed train noise effects on wildlife and domestic livestock. In B. Schulte-Werning et al. editors. Noise and vibration mitigation, NMFM 99. Springer, Berlin. pp. 26-32.

Harness, R.E., P. R. Juvvadi and J. F. Dwyer. 2013. Avian electrocutions in western Rajasthan, India. Journal of Raptor Research **47(4):** 352-364.

Harris, L. D. 1984. The Fragmented Forest: Island Biogeography Theory and the Preservation of Biotic Diversity. - Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago. Available from: http://indiasendangered.com/proposed-high-tension-wire-to-adversely-affect-wild-animals/

Jackson, S. D. 2000. Overview of Transportation Impacts on Wildlife Movement and Populations. In T.A. Messmer and B. West editors. Wildlife and Highways: Seeking Solutions to an Ecological and Socio-economic Dilemma. The Wildlife Society. pp. 7-20.

Jalkotzy, M. G., P. I. Ross and M. D. Nasserden. 1997. The Effects of Linear Developments on Wildlife: A Review of Selected Scientific Literature. Report: 1-354. Calgary, Prep. for Canadian Association of Petroleum Producers. Arc Wildlife Services Ltd.

Janss, G. F. E. 2000. Avian mortality from power lines: a morphologic approach of a species-specific mortality. Biological Conservation **95(3):** 353-359.

Draft

Janss, G. F. E. and M. Ferrer. 1999. Mitigation of raptor electrocution on steel power poles. Wildlife Society Bulletin **27:** 263–273.

Janss, G. F. E. and M. Ferrer. 2000. Common Crane and Great Bustard collision with power lines: collision rate and risk exposure. Wildlife Society Bulletin **28:** 675-680.

Jenkins, A. R., J. J. Smallie and M. Diamond. 2010. Avian Collisions with Power Lines: A Global Review of Causes and Mitigation with a South African Perspective. Bird Conservation International. pp. 1-16.

Joshi, R. 2010. Train accidental deaths of leopards *Panthera pardus* in Rajaji National Park: a population in threat. World Journal of Zoology **5(3):** 156-161.

Joshi, R. and A. Dixit. 2012. Wildlife mortality on National Highway 72 and 74 across the Rajaji National Park and the Haridwar conservation area, North India. International Journal of Conservation Science **3(2):** 127-139.

Kociolek, A. V., A. P. Clevenger, C. C. St Clair and D. S. Proppe. 2011. Effects of road networks on bird populations. Conservation Biology **25:** 241-249.

Krishna, C.M., A. Kumar, P. C. Ray, K. Sarma, A. Devi and M. L. Khan. 2013. Impact of road widening on wildlife in Namdapha National Park, Arunachal Pradesh, India: a conservation issue. Asian Journal of Conservation Biology **2 (1):**76–78.

Loss, S. R., T. Will and P. P. Marra. 2014. Refining estimates of bird collision and electrocution mortality at power lines in the United States. PLoS ONE **9(7):** e101565. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101565.

Manitoba Hydro. 1995. Fur, Feathers, and Transmission Lines- How Rights-of-Way Affect Wildlife. Available from: http://www.hydro.mb.ca/environment_splash_frame.html . Manitoba Hydro., Winnipeg, Canada (Accessed on February 8, 2002).

Draft

Margalida, A., R. Heredia, M. Razin and H. Mauro, 2012. Sources of variation in mortality of the bearded vulture *Gypaetus barbatus* in Europe. Bird Conservation International **18:**1–10.

Martin, G. R. and J. M. Shaw. 2010. Bird collisions with power lines: failing to see the way ahead? Biological Conservation **143(11):** 2695-2702.

Ministry of Railways, Government of India. 2009. Indian Railways Vision. 2020. Available from: http://www.prsindia.org/uploads/media/Railways%20Vision%20Document%202020.pdf.

Nietvelt, C. G. 2002. The effects of roads on wildlife: Bibliography. In U. S. F. Service and B.T. N. Forest, editors. Jackson, USA. pp.77.

Pragatheesh, A. and A. Rajvanshi. 2013. Spatial pattern and factor influencing the mortality of snakes on the national highway-7 along Pench Tiger Reserve, Madhya Pradesh, India. Oecologia Australis **17(1):** 20-35.

Prakash, A. P. 2012. A study on the impacts of highways on wildlife in Bandipur Tiger Reserve. Master Thesis submitted to Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai.

Prinsen, H. A. M., G. C. Boere, N. Píres and J. J. Smallie. (Compilers). 2011. Review of the conflict between migratory birds and electricity power grids in the African-Eurasian region. CMS Technical Series No. XX, AEWA Technical Series No. XX. Bonn, Germany.

Rajvanshi, A., V. B. Mathur and A. Pragatheesh. 2013. Ecological Effects of Road through Sensitive Habitats: Implications for Wildlife Conservation. Wildlife Institute of India. Dehradun, India.

Raman, T. R. S. 2011. Framing Ecologically Sound Policy on Linear Intrusions Affecting Wildlife Habitats: Background Paper for the National Board for Wildlife. Nature Conservation Foundation, Mysore.

Draft

Rangarajan, M., A. Desai, R. Sukumar, P.S. Easa, V. Menon, S. Vincent, S. Ganguly, B. K. Talukdar, B. Singh, D. Mudappa, S. Chowdhary and A. N. Prasad. 2010. Gajah: Securing the Future for Elephants in India. Government of India, New Delhi, India.

Rosen, P. C. and C. H. Lowe. 1994. Highway mortality of snakes in the Sonoran Desert of southern Arizona. Biological Conservation **68**: 143–148.

Rouse J. D., R. J. Willson, R. Black, and R. J. Brooks. 2011. Movement and spatial dispersion of Sistrurus catenatus and Heterodon platirhinos: Implications for interactions with roads. Copeia **3:** 443–456.

Roy, M., N. Bhaskaran and R. Sukumar. 2009. The Death of Jumbos on Railway Tracks in Northern West Bengal. Gajaha. **31**: 36-39.

Rubolini, D., M. Gustin, G. Bogliani and R. G. Garavaglia. 2005. Birds and power lines in Italy: an assessment. Bird Conservation International **15:**131–145.

Seiler, A. 2002. Effects of infrastructure on nature. In COST 341. Habitat fragmentation due to transportation infrastructure. The European Review. European Commission, Directorate-General for Research, Brussels, B: 31-50.

Sharma, N. 2009. Available from: http://blog.ncf-india.org/2009/09/29/wildlife-under-wheels/

Shine, R., M. Lemaster, M. Wall, T. Langkilde and R. Mason. 2004. Why did the snake cross the road? Effects of roads on movement and location of mates by garter snakes (*Thamnophis sirtalis parietalis*). Ecology and Society **9(1):** 9.

Singh, A. K., A. Kumar, V. Menon and A. Mookerjee. 2001. Elephant Mortality in Train Accidents - a Scientific Approach to Understanding and Mitigating this Problem in Rajaji National Park. Wildlife Trust of India, New Delhi.

Slabbekoorn, H. and E. A. P. Ripmeester. 2008. Birdsong and anthropogenic noise: implications and applications for conservation. Molecular Ecology **17:** 72-83.

Draft

Stehn, T.V. and T. Wassenich. 2008. Whooping crane collisions with power lines: an issue paper. Proceedings of the North American Crane Workshop 10: 25-36.

Stoner, D. 1925. The toll of the automobile. Science **61:**56-57.

Stuart, S. N., J. S. Chanson, N. A. Cox, B. E. Young, A. S. L. Rodrigues, D. L. Fischman and R. W. Waller. 2004. Status and trends of amphibian declines and extinctions worldwide. Science **306:** 1783-1786.

Sundar, K. S. G. and B. C. Choudhury. 2005. Mortality of Sarus cranes (*Grus antigone*) due to electricity wires in Uttar Pradesh, India. Environmental conservation **32(3):** 260-269.

Tere, A. and B. M. Parasharya. 2011. Flamingo mortality due to collision with high tension electric wires in Gujarat, India. Journal of Threatened Taxa **3(11):** 2192–2201.

Tinto, A., J. Real and M. Santi. 2010. Predicting and correcting electrocution of birds in Mediterranean areas. Journal of Wildlife Management **74(8):**1852–1862.

Trocmé, M., S. Cahill, J. G. De Vries, H. Farall, L. Folkeson, G. L. Fry, C. Hicks and J. Peymen (editors). 2003. COST 341 - Habitat Fragmentation due to Transportation Infrastructure: The European Review. - Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg.

Trombulak, S. C. and C. A. Frissell. 2000. Review of ecological effects of roads on terrestrial and aquatic communities. Conservation Biology **14:**18-30.

WHO. 2004. World Report on Road Traffic Injury Prevention: Summary ISBN 92 4 159131 5. pp. 52.

Williams, A. C., A. J. T. Johnsingh and P. R. Krausman. 2001. Elephant-Human Conflicts in Rajaji National Park, North Western India. Wildlife Society Bulletin **29(4):** 1097-1104.

Draft

WII. 2014. Diversion of 20.77 ha Forest Land and 6.70 ha Revenue Land from Great Indian Bustard Sanctuary for Construction of Electric Transmission Line between Gwalior and Jaipur. Site Appraisal Report. Wildlife Institute of India, Dehradun.

WTI. 2013. Staying Connected: Addressing the Impacts of Linear Intrusions on Wildlife in Western Ghats. Presentation made at Five Year Participatory Assessment of CEPF Workshop. Available from: http://indiabiodiversity.org/project/show/89.

Xia, L., Q. Yang, Z. Li., Y. Wu and Z. Feng. 2007. The effect of the Qinghai-Tibet railway on the migration of Tibetan antelope *Pantholops hodgsonii* in Hoh-xil National Nature Reserve, China. Oryx **41(3):** 352-357.

Yang, Q. and L. Xia. 2008. Tibetan wildlife is getting used to the railway. Nature **452:** 810-811.doi:10.1038/452810c

Draft

5. MITIGATION PRINCIPLES

Mitigation refers to measures to avoid, reduce or remedy harm.

In the context of developing linear infrastructure such as roads, railway lines and powerlines, the purpose of mitigation is to identify strategies and measures that will address the conservation concerns likely to be associated with the development proposals. In order to deliver the potential benefits of green infrastructure development, these strategies and measures must follow a set of key mitigation principles, as set out below.

MITIGATION SHOULD BE GOAL ORIENTED

Mitigation should be focused on achieving explicit conservation goals within clear timeframes, to be integrated in the broader 'green infrastructure development' approach. These goals should be informed by the significance of affected biodiversity, priority of conservation goals and the values of natural systems to affected communities.

Use of the SMART approach is recommended to evaluate the likely effectiveness of alternative mitigation strategies or measures: 'SMART' refers to measures that are specific, measurable, achievable, realistic and timely.

Mitigation should aim to address not only direct 'footprint' impacts on natural systems, but also ecological effects caused by the development of linear infrastructure which may only be manifest in decades to come (Forman et. al. 2003), affecting regional populations of wild animals (Figure 5.1).

Draft



Figure 5.1. Effects of roads and rail tracks on individual animals and wildlife populations. Source: Adapted from Forman et al. 2003.

MITIGATION MUST FOLLOW A HIERARCHY OF MEASURES:

- First, avoid or prevent adverse impacts as far as possible through considering spatial or design alternatives. Where impacts are highly significant or could lead to loss of irreplaceable biodiversity or conservation assets, avoidance is the only real option if development is to be sustainable;
- Second, minimise or reduce adverse impacts to 'as low as practicable' levels, and
- Third, remedy or compensate for adverse residual impacts which are unavoidable and cannot be reduced further.

MITIGATION MUST BE ADDRESSED AS AN INTEGRAL PART OF PROJECT PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION:

- Mitigation strategies should be considered and applied early in the planning stages of the project cycle.
- Mitigation measures for impacts of each linear development proposal should consider the combined (cumulative) effects of existing projects on biodiversity, as well as the anticipated impacts of infrastructure foreseen to be developed over the next 10-20 years: conservation values are eroded by multiple developments in a given landscape. These measures should be reviewed and adapted over the long term, based on an improved understanding of these combined impacts.
- Mitigation must address impacts not only at project site level, but at the level of the wider living landscape, respecting the need to maintain corridors and networks of natural habitat: linear infrastructure development has impacts across multiple habitats, species ranges, watersheds and land-use zoning.
- The design of mitigation measures must consider that each species is unique, with different ecological requirements, capacities, behavioural patterns and responses to linear infrastructure.
- Competent wildlife ecologists from recognised institutions should be engaged at the planning stage to identify impacts and develop optimum mitigation measures: this approach would save lot of time and resources, and be beneficial for society and for conservation in the long term.

Draft

- Mitigation measures should be incorporated in the tender and contract documents preferably for implementation during the construction and operational phases.

MITIGATION MEASURES NEED TO TARGET SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS:

- Mitigation must focus on the most extinction-prone taxa and habitats in the landscape under consideration, as well as those species that are most sensitive to the specific development impacts.
- Mitigation needs to include measures to sustain prey populations and other elements of the ecosystem that support conservation of charismatic or umbrella species (e.g. the tiger) which command high conservation significance.
- Mitigation should first consider avoidance of development ('no go' option) in source areas of threatened taxa. If avoidance is not possible, stringent measures need to be stipulated in areas representing specialised habitats, the habitat ranges of protected species, migratory routes and bottlenecks in ecological corridors that are crucial to effective conservation in the long term.
- The cost of mitigation should be built into the project cost.

Reference:

Forman, R. T. T., D. Sperling, J. A. Bissonette, A. P. Clevenger, C. D. Chutshall and V. H. Dale et al., 2003. Road Ecology. Science and Solutions. Island Press, Washington.

Draft

PART II

MITIGATION OF IMPACTS OF ROADS AND RAILWAY LINES

Ideally, the routing of roads and railways in the landscape should be planned at a strategic landscape level, in such a way that they are located and concentrated in areas of little importance to wildlife and would not bisect or fragment their habitats. In reality, however, there are numerous corridors of linear infrastructure in place that are having a significant negative impact on wildlife, and decisions on new roads and railways have already been taken. While there is thus a need in the longer term to encourage a more strategic, landscape-scale approach, there is an urgent need to improve the design and uptake of appropriate wildlife-friendly technology in existing infrastructure and in projects that have been authorised. This Part of the guideline therefore *focuses on these project-level design and technology needs*.

Draft

6. GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR MITIGATING IMPACTS ON WILDLIFE

Transportation planners know that highway and railway systems must accommodate other elements of human infrastructure (e.g. water supply systems, sewer systems, electric and gas utilities). Biologists and natural resource planners can define networks of core conservation areas and connectivity zones, effectively defining the ecological infrastructure for a region. They can then work with transportation agencies to ensure that transportation systems are designed to accommodate this ecological infrastructure.

Traditionally, highway impacts on wildlife have been viewed in terms of road mortality and threats to selected populations of animals. Viewing this issue from a wider perspective, however, it is clear that both highways and railways have the potential to undermine ecological processes at a landscape scale through the fragmentation of wildlife populations, restriction of wildlife movements, and the disruption of gene flow and meta-population dynamics.

'Smart' or 'green' linear infrastructure must aim to reduce mortality and make linear structures conducive to safe movement of animals across the landscape.

Draft



Figure 6.1. Components of a road transportation corridor.

Many questions remain about how to design roads, highways, and passage structures for wild animals that will effectively mitigate the impact of roadways on animal movements and wildlife populations. Based on learning from projects around the world, current approaches to mitigation should aim to achieve at least two important and measurable conservation outcomes that should prevent decline in wild animal populations in the long term, namely (i) increased population viability and (ii) a significant reduction in infrastructure-induced mortality of animals.

Mitigation measures essentially fall into two categories, as illustrated for roads in Figure 6.2:

(1) regulatory or prescriptive measures that are intended to alter human behaviour, and
(2) measures to manage habitat on or near the site that are intended to influence animal responses.

Draft



Figure 6.2. Mitigation approaches recommended for reducing road- related mortality of animals.

Measures specifically to address habitat fragmentation effects of transportation infrastructure fall into two broad categories: engineering (structural) options and non-structural options. These two groups of options are discussed in separate sections below, and presented and evaluated in Table 6.2.

Draft

ENGINEERING OPTIONS

There are several types of crossing structures that are used to mitigate impacts of highway and railway corridors on animal movement and safety, each with different levels of effectiveness and cost. Fundamentally, these structures are designed either to allow animals to travel below the infrastructure (underpasses) or over it (overpasses). These different types of structures are presented in Table 6.1.

Table 6.1. Types of structures to enable animal crossing.

<table>
<tr><th colspan="2">OVERPASSES</th></tr>
<tr><td>Land bridge:
Also known as an ecoduct or a wildlife bridge, it is a 30-70 m wide bridge that extends above roads or railway lines. The bridge is typically enhanced with habitat features such as native vegetation, rocks and logs.
These structures allow for natural movement of wild animals as they are less confining, quieter, have ambient natural conditions of rainfall, light and temperature, and can be used by a wide range of fauna.

Design considerations:
• The bridge may be rectangular or hour-glass shaped.
• Human activities and disturbance (noise and artificial light) should be minimised.
• Fencing could be used to funnel the animals towards the structures and away from the road or rail.
• Acceptability to wild animals should be achieved by planting and maintaining native vegetation.</td><td> 
Source: Photograph by Benjamin P. Y-H. Lee (University of Kent)</td></tr>
</table>

Draft

Canopy bridge

This is a rope, pole, rope or wooden ladder or walkway suspended above the road or railway, either from vertical poles or trees, and installed for tree-dwelling species.

Design considerations:

- The bridge should be located in areas having important populations of arboreal species with a high risk of mortality.
- Structures should be taut and wide enough for animals to walk on.
- Each end of the bridge should be connected to trees or bushes for cover.
- A thin rope above the bridge can prevent predation of small arboreal mammals by birds.

Source: Photograph by T R Shankar Raman

Glider poles

These are vertical poles placed in the centre median or on the road or rail verge to provide intermediate landing and launch opportunities for gliding species.

Design considerations:

- Poles should be constructed on the centre median of roads which are wider than the gliding distance of the local gliding mammals.
- 'Nest' boxes may also be provided for the animals to rest and hide from predatory birds.

Source: Photograph by Kylie Soanes; ksoanesresearch.wordpress.com

Draft

UNDERPASSES	
Bridge or viaduct This type of structure maintains or elevates the grade of the road or railway, allowing for the passage of fauna below. There may be seasonal drainage associated with the viaduct. Viaducts are useful near valley bottoms to lead roads or railway lines across the valley.	 Source: Photograph by: Rimba; myrimba.org
Box culverts These are typically square or rectangular structures built under the linear infrastructure for passage of fauna (including aquatic species) and/or water. Design considerations: • Culverts may be of different types depending on their shape and size, and the need to cater for natural drainage. • Adding ledges or planks above the water level in culverts has been shown to encourage many terrestrial species to use the structures. • The type of materials and substrate used in the structure, and the establishment of native vegetation at each end of the underpass for cover, are other factors that affect use of underpasses by animals.	 Source: Photograph by Akanksha Saxena

Draft

Pipe culverts

These are typically round pipes of relatively small diameter (<1.5 m), made of smooth steel, corrugated metal, or concrete. Although their primary purpose is to convey water under roads or railways, a variety of wild animals has been observed using them as passageways: they are often used by small mammals, reptiles and amphibians. They have also been used as fish passages.

Design considerations:

- Single or multiple pipes may be placed at an appropriate spot.
- Native vegetation should be established on both sides of the culvert to provide cover.

Source: Photograph by Public works department, Government of the Netherlands

Modified culverts (culverts with furniture)

Additional features such as walkways or planks may be installed on the interior walls of the underpass, to encourage small and medium-sized mammals to use culverts built on natural drainage sites. Similarly, in pipe culverts, guard rails or wooden planks may be installed to facilitate movement of small mammals and reptiles through the culvert.

Source: Photograph from Clevenger and Huijser, 2011

Dra

Fences

Fences are best used in combination with properly placed wildlife crossing structures; they direct animals away from roads or railways and towards these structures.

Different fence designs can be used for small or large mammals, amphibians and reptiles.

Design considerations:

- Fencing may be erected along the entire length of the linear infrastructure or only along sections known to present a risk to wild animals or livestock. Decisions on continuous or partial fencing will depend on land-use patterns (e.g. private land, forest land).
- Both sides of the road or railway should be fenced. Fences should be symmetrical and not staggered.
- Breaks in the fences should have wildlife warning systems, warning signs or cattle guards/gates to avoid animal mortality.
- The height, material and mesh size of the fence should be decided based on the target species.

Source: Fence for Reptile: Public works department, Government of the Netherlands
Fence for turtle: http://lakejacksonecopassage.org/turtlesatfencelarge.jpg

Draft

II NON-STRUCTURAL OPTIONS:

The following non-structural approaches provide additional measures for restoring wildlife connectivity across linear infrastructure and helping to improve the permeability of affected habitat.

i. Canopy connectivity:

The width of the linear clearing is kept sufficiently small to allow the tree canopy to remain continuous above the clearing. Where the tree canopy is not continuous, the clearing width is kept small enough to allow gliders (and other flying species) known to the affected area to traverse the clearing safely.

Figure 6.3. Maintaining canopy connectivity is a form of non-structural mitigation. Source: Modified from QLD Transport and Main Roads 2010.

Draft

ii. Habitat Management:

Vegetation or other habitat features (e.g. rocks, fallen timber) are strategically placed, planted or allowed to regrow so that animals are directed to preferred crossing locations. At these locations, animals cross the road or railway line without the aid of any structures (i.e. similar to a pedestrian crossing).

iii. Elevating the linear infrastructure:

The road or rail is elevated above the vegetation to minimise clearing (clearing is only required for bridge piers or pylons) and allow natural vegetation to grow under the infrastructure.

iv. Corridor plantings:

Strips of vegetation are planted on either side of the linear clearing to provide attractive corridors for animal movement.

v. Local traffic management:

Devices to reduce noise, speed or volume of traffic, or convey early warning of risks, are used to manage traffic. They may include noise attenuation walls, road closures, lighting and signage.

Table 6.2 presents and evaluates the engineering (structural) and non-structural options for mitigating impacts on wildlife.

Draft

Table 6.2: Relative importance of different type of strategies and measures for mitigating the impacts of roads and railways on different functional groups of wild animals.

KEY	
	minimum requirement
	adequate
	best
	Affects negatively
NA	Not applicable

MITIGATION MEASURES	WILDLIFE FUNCTIONAL GROUPS						
	Large carnivores	Large herbivores	Medium-sized mammals	Small mammals	Amphibians	Reptiles	Birds
Roadside forest habitat management							
Maintain natural habitat							
Minimise human activity							
Maintain canopy connectivity							
Establish and maintain vegetation along roadway							
Structure type							
Pipe culvert							
Box culvert							
Canopy bridges/glider poles							
Bridge underpass							
Overpass							
Structure design standards							
Minimum							

openness ratio							
Field of view							
Opening cover							
Achieve minimum height							
Natural substrate bottom							
Structure modification (furniture)							
Natural lighting							
Natural temperature							
Moisture							
Frequency of placement							
Accessibility							
Additional enhancement measures							
Fencing/funnelling							
Warning signs							
Animal detection systems							
Retrofitting of existing structures							
Road design in structure proximity							
Light pollution mitigation							
Traffic noise mitigation							
Other							
Maintenance							
Monitoring							

Animal groups	General animals considered (specific to India)
Large carnivores	Tiger, leopard, canids
Large herbivores	Elephant, gaur, rhino
Medium-sized mammals	Sambar, spotted deer, wild boar, bear
Small mammals	Hare, civets, mongoose, mouse and similar sized animals
Amphibians	Toads, frogs, salamanders
Reptiles	Snakes, lizards, turtles, tortoises, crocodilians
Birds	

References:

Department of Transport and Main Roads. 2010. Fauna-Sensitive Road Design Manual Vol 2. Government of Queensland.

Draft

7. FACTORS FOR ENHANCING PERMEABILITY OF CROSSING STRUCTURES

The design and number of structures to improve the permeability of road and rail corridors must facilitate animal movement across these corridors and maintain habitat connectivity across the landscape.

The siting and design of animal passages must consider the specific requirements and behaviour of target species; where communities of animals may be affected, passages will need to be designed and managed to accommodate multiple species with different needs.

The siting and design must also consider site-specific variables such as vegetation, topography and hydrology. The types and levels of disturbance must be taken into account, too: traffic (vehicles, trains) noise and vibrations may discourage wild animals from using crossing structures.

The following sections focus on the design of underpasses as the predominant structural form of mitigation of impacts of roads and railways on wildlife.

I DESIGNING AN UNDERPASS:

A crossing structure will only be effective if it is accessible and acceptable to the species that will potentially utilise it: its design and size can greatly influence its use.

The body size of the animal and its behaviour (e.g. solitary or group living, diurnal or nocturnal) will influence the design of the structure. In general, the bigger these structures the more they are used (Goosem 2001). Where there is little or no research available to determine the appropriate dimensions of a crossing structure for a specific species— particularly if that animal is threatened or rare, the design should err on the side of caution and cater for relatively large animals.

Draft

Structures with greatest use have heights at least equal to their width, and openings that allow unobstructed view of habitat (Goosem & Weston 2002). Reed (in Watson & Klingel 2000) recommends that underpasses have an 'openness ratio' or index of at least 2.0 to be effective (openness ratio is calculated by dividing the cross-sectional area of the underpass by the crossing distance; i.e. opening height x span length divided by width of the road) as explained in Figure 7.1.

The use of underpasses, for example, is negatively correlated with crossing length and positively correlated with openness index (Clevenger & Waltho 2000).

Figure 7.1. Dimensions of an underpass determining its openness
Source: Photograph by Akanksha Saxena

Openness ratio

$$\frac{height\ of\ the\ opening\ x\ width\ of\ the\ structure}{length\ of\ the\ underpass}$$

II LOCATING WILDLIFE CROSSINGS:

The location of wildlife crossings is critical. Wildlife crossing structures should allow for natural movement of animals and thus be constructed at locations where the possibility of animals using them is maximum. The general location of wildlife crossings can be decided by ascertaining pathways of animal movement and observations or evidence of habitat use along the road or rail corridor. In most situations, however, exact placement is required: wildlife crossings should be located precisely where animals want to approach a road or railway, or where they have historically done so. Often, animals choose areas to cross where there is a specific terrain feature, vegetation or, in the case of roads, a reduction in the number of lanes. Ridges, valley bottoms, streams and river courses greatly influence the movement of animals across the landscapes.

The following points should be kept in mind when determining the location of crossing structures:

- Surveys alongside the linear infrastructure should be carried out by suitably qualified people to assess use of the habitat by animals and identify areas used as crossing zones.
- Wildlife professionals, ecologists and locals familiar with the landscape and its animals should be consulted to help undertake faunal surveys.
- Forest cover and habitat maps of the area should be used: they are helpful in narrowing down areas likely to be used by animals.
- Unique local conditions such as natural drainage lines and forest edges are indicative of probable crossing zones, but their use will depend on the particular behavioural traits of animal species.
- Various methods may be used to determine patterns of animal movement (pathways or trails). They include radio telemetry, sign surveys, camera trapping, capture-mark-recapture approaches, track beds and the mapping of historic crossing zones.
- Historic data (observational data or from radio-tagged individuals) of animal crossing zones should be explored when available.

Draft

- Although hotspots of road kills are indicative of areas of animal use, they overestimate the extent of the animal crossing zones. They should therefore be used only as a last resort and an auxiliary source of information.

III SPACING OF WILDLIFE CROSSINGS:

Terrain, habitat type, levels of human activity, climate and species behaviour *per se* are some of the factors that influence wildlife movements and ecological flows. For this reason, the spacing of wildlife crossings on a given section of road or railway will depend largely on the variability of landscape, terrain, densities of animal populations, the prevalence of critical wildlife habitat intersecting the transport corridor, and the requirements of different species for habitat connectivity. A range of types and sizes of wildlife crossing should be provided at frequent intervals along the road or railway. It may be appropriate to install several structures in one location to reduce competition between individuals, predator attraction, travel time to a safe crossing and habituation time (Barnes 2007), as well as increase connectivity incrementally (Jaeger 2007).

IV USING SUITABLE SUBSTRATES:

Finding a suitable substrate or bottom material is one of the biggest challenges in designing wildlife crossings. The bottom of structures should, as far as possible, have similar substrates to that which would occur naturally in the absence of the structure.

V MAKING THE APPEARANCE OF WILDIFE CROSSINGS ATTRACTIVE:

The more naturally a wildlife crossing fits into the surrounding area, the more likely it will be that animals will use it.

VI PLANNING THE APPROACH TO A WILDLIFE CROSSING:

The approach to an animal crossing is a crucial factor in determining its use. Approaches should mimic the routes and places where animals are most comfortable crossing a transport corridor. Structures should be designed to enable animals to view the horizon from a distance and see habitat on the opposite side of the corridor. Road cuts, steep drop-offs and cliffs

Draft

may dissuade animals from making a successful crossing. Structures should be designed as flat and straight as the terrain permits: crossings with a steep grade reduce the openness of structures, and ‘dog-leg’ designs or staggered crossings prevent animals from seeing the receiving habitat. Appropriate use of vegetation (trees, shrubs and grasses) can play a significant role in enhancing the naturalness of an engineered structure.

Draft

References:

Barnes, D. 2007. Fauna Use of Underpasses. Connell Wagner, Brisbane.

Clevenger, A. P. and N. Waltho. 2000. Factors influencing the effectiveness of wildlife underpasses in Banff National Park, Alberta, Canada. Conservation Biology **14:** 47–56.

Goosem, M. 2001. Effects of tropical rainforest roads on small mammals: inhibition of crossing movements. Wildlife Research **28:** 351-364.

Goosem, M. and N. Weston. 2002. Under and over. Wildlife Australia 39: 34-37. Watson, Mark and Jon Klingel. 2000. Literature Summary Assessing Methods for Reducing Deer-Vehicle Accidents. New Mexico Department of Fish and Game Website. Available from: http://www.wildlife.state.nm.us/conservation/habitat_handbook/ DeerVehicleAccidents.htm

Jaeger, J. 2007. Effects of the configuration of road networks on landscape connectivity. In C. L. Irwin, D. Nelson and K. P. Dermott, editors. Proceedings of the 2007 International Conference on Ecology and Transportation. Center for Transportation and the Environment, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina, USA. pp. 267-280.

Watson, M. and J. Klingel. 2000. Literature Summary Assessing Methods for Reducing Deer-Vehicle Accidents. New Mexico Department of Fish and Game Website. Available from: http://www.wildlife.state.nm.us/conservation/ habitat_handbook/DeerVehicleAccidents.htm

Draft

8. MITIGATION MEASURES FOR CONNECTING LANDSCAPES AND SPECIES

I. LANDSCAPE-SPECIFIC MEASURES

Landscape connectivity is the degree to which habitats across the landscape are connected, facilitating wildlife movement and other ecological flows.

The following aspects and concepts need to be considered when locating and designing linear infrastructure and deciding on appropriate measures to mitigate impacts:

1) The concept of 'minimum viable population', which sets – and respects – a lower limit on the population size or numbers of individuals of a species (including their genetic diversity) to make sure that that species will survive in the long term.

2) Source-sink dynamics of the landscape, which identifies the critical elements and quality of different ecosystems and habitats on which the persistence of wildlife depends, and describes how variation in habitat quality may affect the population growth and decline of organisms.

3) Metapopulation structure, which considers the geography of, and relationship between, different populations of the same species, to ensure the persistence of that species.

4) The '*Allee effect*' with respect to the behavioural ecology of the species, habitat matrix and its porosity to the taxa (corridors for movement). This effect considers the correlation between population size or density, and the mean fitness of individual animals of a population or species, recognising that that fitness tends to decline in smaller populations.

5) Mitigation measures should be designed and implemented to meet the collective needs of all target taxa and biodiversity values of the landscape; designing for the biggest or most demanding species will often ensure that the needs of other species would simultaneously be met. However, in certain cases, additional measures may be required

Draft

for particular taxa or functional groups to provide for specific needs: e.g. structures designed for elephants will serve the purpose for most terrestrial mammalian species but may not be effective for strictly arboreal taxa, or for reptiles and amphibians; special mitigation measures will still be needed for them.

General Rules for maintaining habitat connectivity across the landscape:

The general guidelines set out below to maintain connectivity across an identified species corridor are based on species ecology, such as home range and habitat use pattern, species communities across different landscapes and other ecological information.

i. If the width of the corridor through forest habitat is 1 km or less, the construction of flyovers should be undertaken in such a way that the entire stretch of forest remains connected.
ii. If the width of the corridor is 1-2 km, one underpass of 750 m should be provided across the landscape. The exact location of the underpass should be based on topographic features of the area and information about customary animal crossing zones. This 750 m stretch of elevated road could also be divided into two parts of at minimum 300 m each, located within that corridor; their location would depend upon the terrain, characteristics of the particular species and its movement patterns.
iii. If the width of the corridor is 3 km or more, or if the forest landscape is to be dissected by either a new road or the upgrading of an existing road, 300 m underpasses are suggested within every km stretch of the road. The exact location of the underpass should be based on topographic features, crossing zones, and the particular ecological requirements of the affected species.
iv. Other than maintaining connectivity for larger mammalian species, for amphibians or reptiles across the landscape, small pipe culverts or bridges should be constructed in every 100 m stretch of road.

Table 8.1 summarises and Figure 8.1 illustrates the above points.

Draft

Table 8.1. Placement of crossing structures across animal movement corridors of varying widths	
Landscape characteristic	**Design measures for maintaining connectivity**
Connectivity across 1 km species corridor	Entire 1 km stretch to be connected
Connectivity across 1 - 2 km species corridor	750 m underpass either as one structure or two 300 m each depending upon terrain and other conditions
Connectivity across 3km species corridor or across the forest landscape to be divided by either a new road or upgrading of existing road	300 m underpasses are suggested every km of the road
For smaller species such as amphibians and reptiles	Small pipe culverts or bridges at every 100 m stretch of the road.



Figure 8.1. The following underpass specifications are suggested for different lengths of wildlife corridors: A) 1 km flyover for 1 km wide corridor; B1) one 750 m underpass or 2 underpasses of 300 m each (B2) for 2 km wide corridor; and C) 3 underpasses of 300 m each for a 3 km wide corridor.

II. SPECIES-SPECIFIC MEASURES

It is important to consider, and design wildlife crossings and animal passages to cater for, all of the species using the area affected by linear infrastructure, in order to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of mitigation solutions.

The following section focuses on the use of underpasses as a principal measure to mitigate negative impacts of roads and railways on terrestrial mammals. However, it is useful to note that these underpasses would also be used by other animal taxa.

Underpasses for terrestrial mammals

The following minimum design requirements of underpasses for specific *terrestrial mammal species* are based on the effectiveness of underpasses for mule deer, which have a shoulder height of 106 cm (Reed et al. 1975; Reed et al. 1979; Reed 1981; Ward 1982; Olbrich 1984; Reed & Ward 1987; Foster & Humphrey 1995; Putnam 1997).

- For chital, with a shoulder height of up to 75 cm, an openness index of 0.52 (metric) is needed.
- For Sambar, with a shoulder height up to 160 cm, an openness index of 1.12 (metric) is needed.
- For gaur, shoulder height up to 175 cm, an openness index of 1.22 (metric) is needed.

Figure 8.2 shows the required underpass height in relation to animal size. In landscapes where sambar, gaur and tiger are the largest animals present, a minimum underpass height of 5 m would be acceptable if the viaduct were 300 m long and the span of the underpass were 28 – 30 m. For any other underpass with a viaduct of less than 300 m, and in landscapes where elephant and rhino are the largest animals in the community, the minimum height of the underpass should be 6-8 m to provide an openness ratio that could provide an optimum passage for these animals.

Draft

While approaching the underpass, the animal should preferably be able to view the horizon across the underpass in order to perceive any risks and opportunities on that side. Although a structure 5 m high and passage with a viaduct length of 300 m should be able to provide this view, a 7 m high passage would provide a more liberal view created by a higher openness ratio.



Figure 8.2. Underpass height according to relative heights of animals.

The design of the walls and the piers of an underpass can significantly improve the acceptability of passage structure by animals. Isolated piers are more favourable than wall-type piers: wall-type piers reduce lateral visibility and increase tunnel effects, especially for species that move in groups, such as chital. The inclusion of a cross beam at the top of isolated piers

further improves their acceptability. Figure 8.3 shows line drawings and constructed animal underpasses with wall type and isolated piers.



Figure 8.3. Diagrammatic representation of isolated and wall type piers. Source: Singh et al. 2010.

III. MITIGATION MEASURES FOR DIFFERENT LANDSCAPES

TIGER LANDSCAPES

Both underpasses and overpasses (passageways) are potential engineering solutions for mitigating the impacts of linear infrastructure in tiger landscapes. The following designs and norms for these structures should serve as the minimum requirements for mitigation in such landscapes *where elephants do not occur*. In landscapes where elephants are present, the design of mitigation structures would generally also meet the needs of tigers and most other biota.

i. Underpasses:

A minimum span of 30 m with a height of 5 m and a width of 5-8 m would work for most species in tiger landscapes. The 30 m span refers to clear open passageways – often these underpasses would have support pillars for the infrastructure and they should be excluded from the span measurement.

In critical tiger corridors as well as core areas of tiger reserves— if linear infrastructure is permitted at all— mitigation measures need to be especially stringent: the span needs to be a minimum of 50 m with the same dimensions of height (5 m) and width (5-8 m).

ii. Overpasses:

After accounting for structural construction requirements, the minimum passage width of an overpass needs to exceed 30m. The overpass should not have a steep incline to the infrastructure crossing: slopes over 25 degrees should be avoided. Thus the length of the overpass is site specific and needs to be adjusted according to the lay of the land.

Draft

iii. Density of mitigation structures:

Besides the dimensions of the underpasses and overpasses, the density or numbers of such structures per unit length of the infrastructure is a crucial consideration for mitigating impacts. A passageway of over 50 m per 1 km length of infrastructure in forested habitats and over 100 m per 1 km length of infrastructure in critical tiger corridors would ensure that habitat connectivity is maintained and fragmentation is avoided.

It is important that wild animal movement is channelled to the passageway for crossing the infrastructure by using appropriate funnelling structures, either natural or artificial. At times it may be necessary, except at the underpasses and overpasses, to fence off the road or railway when passing through wildlife habitat. This fence may be essential to avoid mortality of wildlife, prevent accidents and ensure the safety of humans. Where fences are not required or are not feasible along the entire length of the infrastructure corridor, specific mitigation measures will still be required in the vicinity of topographic features that are known to trap wildlife on roads or railways: e.g. features like railway embankments need to be flattened as they act as traps for wildlife blinded in the headlamps of approaching trains.

iv. Visual Barriers:

Visual barriers along the sides of the infrastructure need to be installed in such a manner that the traffic (vehicles /trains) is not visible from a distance or up close from the wild animal crossings. Care should be taken that vehicular lights do not escape the visual barrier at night as these visual clues could deter nocturnal species from using the underpass. Visual barriers can be camouflaged and enhanced by planting tall vegetation along the edges of the engineered structure.

v. Sound barriers:

Draft

Both underpasses and overpasses need to be fortified with sound barriers to prevent any disturbance to wildlife that could potentially use these structures for passage across the infrastructure. Details of the design and construction of sound barriers are provided in chapter 11. *The installation of appropriate sound and visual barriers cannot be over emphasized*: without them, investments in constructing underpasses and overpasses can go to waste, as wildlife may never use them.

vi. Olfaction and other sensory enhancers:

Target wild animals can be encouraged to use the overpass or underpass by enhancing its porosity to wildlife through enrichment. These enrichments consist of a) use of appropriate substrate like soil, leaf litter, gravel, herbaceous vegetation b) attractants like food plants, carrion, pheromones, dung of conspecifics and scats in the case of carnivores like tigers. These enrichments require inputs from professional wildlife biologists and, if not appropriately used, can also act as deterrents to wildlife passage.

MITIGATION MEASURES IN ELEPHANT LANDSCAPES

Roads and railways impact elephants in multiple ways. Loss and fragmentation of elephant habitat is the most severe problem arising from linear infrastructure development: it alters the elephant's home range and, consequently, may lead to an escalation of human-elephant conflict where these animals are forced into new areas. In addition, it may lead to elephant populations becoming isolated, resulting in a loss of their genetic diversity. To aggravate these impacts, elephants trapped in isolated areas rapidly destroy their own habitats.

Poorly planned roads and railway lines in elephant landscapes result in loss of both elephant and human life due to accidents. However, these critical problems can be addressed by appropriate mitigation measures. The principal mitigation measures proposed to minimise the impact of linear infrastructure in elephant landscapes are set out below.

i. Elevated linear infrastructure:

Draft

Raising the linear infrastructure *(road and railway line)* on pillars above the ground is the best solution in elephant landscapes. A major consideration while elevating the linear infrastructure is height: the height of the pillars should be at minimum 8-10 m (thrice the height of an adult bull elephant) above ground, so as to provide safe passage for elephants. In the event that the costs of elevating infrastructure would be prohibitively expensive, other measures covered below should be considered.

ii. Underpasses:

The height of the underpass, to allow elephant movement, should be the major consideration. A minimum span of 50 m with a height of 6-8 m and a width of 10-12 m is desirable for movement of elephants.

The selection of sites for elephant underpasses should be carefully planned before road or railway design is finalised. Elephants tend to use fairly regular paths/trails and drainage lines in the forest. Such trails and drainage lines need to be identified by specialists trained specifically for this task (i.e. not untrained staff), following a thorough survey of the area. Underpasses should be located where the linear infrastructure corridor intersects with these paths/ trails and drainage lines. Girder bridges are one of the best forms of underpass that can be provided for elephants' passage. Physical barriers should be erected along the remaining length of roads or railways in order to funnel elephant movement through these underpasses.

iii. Overpasses:

Construction of overpasses in flat terrain is not desirable in elephant landscapes. Overpasses can be considered as a mitigation measure only when the linear infrastructure passes through a stretch with steep terrain on both sides: the steep terrain on both sides of the road or railway can be connected with an overpass of at least 10-12 m in width.

iv. Creating level crossings (for railway tracks):

The presence of embankments to make the track level, and even ballast (1 or 2 feet) in flat areas, makes it difficult for elephants to get off the track quickly when a train approaches. Level-crossing type approaches are advisable in places where

Draft

elephant trails regularly cross railway tracks. The identification of locations for these types of level crossings requires well-trained teams who understand elephant movement and have the ability to evaluate elephant paths. However, level crossings should not be considered as a stand-alone option: this form of mitigation is not a replacement for elevated tracks, underpasses and overpasses. Level crossings should be created *in addition to* the abovementioned structures, because they are less safe than the latter.

v. Density of mitigation structures:

The density and numbers of mitigation structures is an important consideration for addressing the impacts of linear infrastructure in elephant landscapes. If elevating the linear infrastructure above the ground on pillars is not possible due to prohibitive costs, at least 100 m of passageways per 1 km length of linear infrastructure in elephant landscapes would ensure habitat connectivity.

vi. Visual barriers:

Visual barriers as proposed in tiger landscapes are applicable for elephant landscapes.

vii. Sound barriers:

Since elephants are sensitive to sound, all mitigation measures such as elevated structures, underpasses and overpasses need to be fortified with sound barriers. Details of the design and construction of sound barriers are provided in chapter 11.

IV. SPECIAL MEASURES FOR RAILWAY LINES IN ELEPHANT LANDSCAPES

Points to be considered when designing measures to mitigate the impacts of railways in elephant landscapes include the following:

1. Trains cannot stop abruptly. For example, a train travelling at 50 km/h will cover another 300 m before coming to a halt. Thus warning systems that detect animals at a short distance would be of no use.

Draft

2. Trains cannot brake hard on curves as they would topple over. Similarly, it is not possible for trains navigating a gradient or slope to stop; they need to maintain a particular speed to overcome the gradient.

These points should be considered when deciding on the type of warning and detection systems. Manpower needs, installation and maintenance costs, and the feasibility and reliability of such systems in different weather conditions are other factors that need consideration.

In addition to underpasses and overpasses, the following measures should be considered for improving the safe movement of elephants across railway lines.

1. Wildlife biologists and forest personnel who have local knowledge of different types of elephant movement and migration, paths, resource needs (food and water), and behaviour, should be consulted.
2. At-grade level crossings for elephants (Figure 8.4) should be provided at regular intervals. These crossings should ideally have smooth slopes with the railway tracks embedded in the cement.

Draft



Figure 8.4. Level crossings for elephants. Source: Illustration by Ajay Desai

3. To prevent elephants from being trapped in railway tracks between steep embankments, their entry into such areas should be discouraged by installing cattle proof barriers (Figure 8.5) or fences (rail tracks are most suitable for use as fence posts). These fences need to be reinforced as they can be easily damaged by elephants.



Figure 8.5. Railway lines that are cut through hillsides in undulating terrain act as death traps for elephants: the steep slopes on both sides prevent the animals from climbing out or exiting such areas quickly. Fences or physical barriers to discourage entry of elephants into these cuts should be installed in such sections of the railway. Source: Illustration by Ajay Desai.

4. As it is risky for a train to stop on a curve, the presence of elephants in these terrains can be avoided by installing physical barriers on both sides of the curves (Figure 8.6)



Figure 8.6. Fences or barriers installed to prevent elephants from getting onto the tracks near curves can help avoid both elephant and human fatalities. Source: Illustration by Ajay Desai.

5. Blocking the bulk of the track, and giving access to elephants at the required number of locations, is the optimum long-term mitigation measure. This is the only real solution for the proposed high-speed trains of the future: any train travelling at 150 km/h will not have the ability to stop, irrespective of how good the detectors may be. Moreover, having to stop for elephants is contrary to the notion of a high-speed train. Accidents involving elephants or other large mammals will be unacceptable from the human safety point of view. For all these reasons, complete isolation of the track will become the norm; it makes sense to follow this approach from the start.

   This design approach requires railway tracks to become more effective barriers, but to retain sufficient porosity (required passages) to ensure that the daily and seasonal movements of elephants and other large mammals on regular paths can continue. The challenge will be constructing (and maintaining) the necessary barriers to *ad hoc* access to the tracks and getting the required number of passages constructed.

Draft

References:

Foster, M. L. and S. R. Humphrey. 1995. Use of highway underpasses by florida panthers and other wildlife. Wildlife Society Bulletin **23(1):** 95-10.

Olbrich, P. 1984. Untersuchung der wirksamkeit von wildwarnreflektoren und der eignung von wilddurchlassen (In German): In Zeitschrift fur Jagdwissenschaft. 30. pp. 87-91.

Putnam, R. J. 1997. Deer and road traffic accidents: options for management. Journal of Environmental Management **51:** 43 - 57.

Reed, D. F. 1981. Effectiveness of highway lighting in reducing deer-vehicle collisions. Journal of Wildlife Management **45:** 721 - 726.

Reed, D. F. and A. L. Ward. 1987. Efficacy of methods advocated to reduce deer-vehicle accidents: research and rationale in the USA. In the Highway and Wildlife Relationships Conference Proceedings. Held in Strasbourg, France, June 5 to 7, 1985. Service d'Etudes Techniques de Routes et Autoroutes, Bagneaux, France. pp. 285 - 293.

Reed, D. F., T. N. Woodard and T. D. I. Beck. 1979. Regional Deer- Vehicle Accident Research. Report Number FHWA-CO-RD-79-11, Colorado Division of Wildlife, Denver, Colorado, November 1979.

Reed, D. F., T. N. Woodward and T. M. Pojar. 1975. Behavioral response of mule deer to a highway underpass. Journal of Wildlife Management **39**: 361-167.

Singh A. K., D. K. Mishra, P. Bora and A. Sharma. 2010. Ensuring Safe Access to Wildlife in Lumding Reserve Forest, Assam, India, Mitigating the Impacts of Gradation of Doboka-Silchar National Highway (NH54E). WWF-India.

Draft

Ward, A .L. 1982. Mule Deer Behavior in Relation to Fencing and Underpasses on Interstate 80 in Wyoming. In the Transportation Research Record 859, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, D.C. pp. 8-13.

Draft

9.STRUCTURAL MEASURES FOR REDUCING ANIMAL MORTALITY

Mitigation measures to reduce deaths due to transportation infrastructure involve a combination of approaches: erecting fences and walls to exclude wild animals, constructing structures such as overpasses and underpasses to allow animals to cross a road or railway corridor safely, and erecting signage and warning systems. The integration of such features in road and rail projects can be effective for a variety of wildlife, provided that the specific requirements of all the different taxa at risk are considered in their design.

The location and design of safe crossings for terrestrial mammals is an important way of reducing their mortality on roads and railways; guidelines for designing appropriate structures are provided in Chapters 6 and 7. *This section thus focuses on measures to reduce deaths of other animal taxa due to railways and roads.*

Some studies have reported movement rates as the single greatest factor influencing the risk of road mortality. Birds that typically fly short distances (e.g. from one tree to the next) may struggle to fly across a large open space, making them vulnerable to collision. Reptiles like snakes and turtles, which sometimes bask on the warm asphalt of the road to regulate their body temperatures, are at greater risk of being run over by speeding traffic.

I MEASURES FOR REDUCING BIRD HITS ON ROADS

The adverse impact of roads and associated vehicular traffic on birds can be minimized through their careful design, controlling the disturbance they cause, and reducing the availability of resources that attract birds next to roads.

i. Planning the timing of road construction and maintenance

Draft

Vehicle collisions with birds are likely to be more pronounced during the breeding season and migration period. They can be minimised by avoiding undertaking road construction and maintenance activities during these time periods: in India, the breeding season for most birds generally starts in early spring in lower altitudes and may continue until late in the rainy season in some areas and for particular species.

ii. Avoidance of impacts on important bird habitats

Roads should be located away from water bodies, rivers and streams, to avoid or minimise the risks of vehicular collision and mortality to rare, threatened and migratory species of birds using these habitats.

iii. Flight diversion

Birds with rapid and direct flight can be diverted to fly high over the traffic zone by erecting suitable roadside structures: fences, poles, soil berms above road level and solid walls with suitable improvisation, can help divert birds away from a roadway. Research and careful planning is needed before deciding on the most appropriate structure to use: particular structures may not be effective for all bird species and may pose a risk of collision for some species.

iv. Managing roadside habitat to reduce its attractiveness for birds

Creating and maintaining vegetation should be a standard part of the prescription for roadside management: a 5 m-wide 'clear zone', with a 3 m-high clearance, devoid of trees and shrubs, is necessary to prevent reptiles and rodents become prey for birds patrolling for food (Figure 9.1).

Planting of trees with non-edible fruits, and species that inhibit undergrowth along the roadside would be effective in reducing the use of roadside habitat and verges by most birds. To reduce mortality in canopy-dwelling birds, it may be

Draft

helpful to plant tree species next to roads that tend to grow tall and have dense foliage. Plant species providing food and nesting opportunities for birds should be avoided along roadsides.

v. Wildlife crossing structures

Bridges, underpasses and overpasses, designed as passageways for other wild animals, can be used by some short-flighted birds (e.g. peafowl, quails, partridges and francolins, which cannot cross over roads in a single flight or avoid crossing traffic corridors), provided that these structures are sufficiently large and use suitable substrate.

vi. Making roads less attractive to birds

Road surfaces and roadside habitats are an attractive source of food for birds, offering, e.g., spilled grains, road kills, seeds and fruit. Careful planning and management of roadsides can reduce their attractiveness to granivorous birds such as sparrows, munias, finches and doves, and scavenging birds such as black kite, vultures and crows.

Carcasses of road kills should be removed as soon as detected.

Lighting along roads may attract insects, which in turn attract birds like owls and nightjars. Use of appropriate lighting systems for illumination of roadways can reduce attraction for birds: artificial lights should generally be discouraged; and reflective posts should be used instead.

Draft



Figure 9.1. Conceptual plan of a road and managed roadside habitat to reduce bird hits.

II MITIGATION MEASURES SPECIFIC TO REPTILES AND AMPHIBIANS

Background

Herpetofaunal (reptile and amphibian) road kill is often a function of species-specific ecological and life-history traits, behaviour, and movement patterns (Forman et al. 2003, Andrews & Gibbons 2005). The dispersing and migratory behaviour of herpetofauna makes them particularly vulnerable to the impacts associated with roads and railways. They move between spatially separated breeding and foraging sites, and often need to cross landscapes that are fragmented by roads and railway lines (Andrews et al. 2008). Their vulnerability is further increased by their relatively slow rates of movement rates (Hels & Buchwald 2001) and by the fact that many species become immobile in response to approaching vehicles (Mazerolle et al. 2005).

Seasonal amphibian movement also makes them particularly susceptible to road mortality. Their life stages comprise juvenile dispersal and adult migration (Pittman et al. 2014). A decline in amphibian populations can also result when their breeding sites and land habitats are interrupted or fragmented by linear barrier like roads, railway lines, pipelines or powerlines.

Daily cycles in the thermal profiles of highways suggest that snakes that are active at night may be at particular risk, because the road is warmer than the surrounding grassland early in the evening (Shine et al. 2004) and thus may be thermally attractive to snakes at this time. Basking or thermoregulatory behaviour thus prolongs their exposure to traffic and increases the likelihood of collisions (Rosen & Lowe 1994).

Turtles are known to cover long distances seasonally, and persistent road mortality may cause a serious bottleneck in the affected population: adult turtles need considerable time to mature.

Draft

Mitigation

Roads are built to be long-lasting structures; mitigation measures should also be durable and long lasting.

Wetlands, forests and rocky habitats on either side of road are likely to be key areas in which mitigation measures for impacts on herpetofauna are required.

In order to design appropriate and species-specific mitigation measures, it is necessary to determine which species are at risk: an assessment of species composition (threatened species if any), relative abundance and habitat association along the road stretch must be made. Visual encounter survey, road cruising (night driving method), tracking animal trail or signs (such as python drag marks), radio telemetry, mark-recapture studies using VIE (Visible Implant Elastomer) or PIT (Passive Integrated Transponder) tags (Heyer et al. 1994) are the most common methods to identify zones of animal activity. It is important to determine and map the 'fatality hotspot' zones of movement along road stretches, to pinpoint optimum locations for mounting mitigation structures: detailed monitoring of amphibian road kill for at least three years has been suggested as an appropriate time interval in this respect (Grossi et al. 2001).

Effective mitigation measures must focus on strategies to reduce the use of roads, by providing connectivity corridors. The principal measures for reducing road-induced mortality of reptiles and amphibians are set out below.

i. Providing passages to retain habitat connectivity

Movement of herpetofauna across linear infrastructure is often facilitated by drainage pipes, box culverts and minor bridges which often act as the drainage structures associated with road construction. These 'non-wildlife engineered' passages (Forman et al. 2003) exist by default, and are not constructed with the objective of facilitating wildlife movement. However, they can provide crucial connectivity to maintain the meta-population dynamics if their location corresponds with locations suitable for animal movement (Figure 9.2).

Draft



Figure 9.2. Passageway for connectivity of breeding habitat for amphibians and turtles.

For passages to be functional and optimally used, they must be placed within the natural migration and dispersal routes of target amphibian or reptile species (Figure 9.2 and 9.3).

Drift fencing or cemented crash barriers may be used to prevent animals from reaching the road and direct amphibians or reptiles to a safe passageway (e.g. turtles to a tunnel entrance).



Figure 9.3. Schematic representation of the use of fencing and culverts to provide safe passage for amphibians and aquatic reptiles.

Draft

Small passages of 20-25 m in length should have a diameter of at least 100 cm. Tunnels of 50 m long need to have a diameter of at least 200 cm (*also see* Forman et al. 2003).

Reptiles particularly cross more frequently through circular structures, while rectangular or square-shaped structures are preferred by amphibians. Frogs often prefer square-shaped tunnels that are buried close to the ground surface (Figure. 9.4).

Box culverts of dimension 2x2 m or 2x3 m are widely used above waterways in highway construction in India. These structures can provide passageways for reptiles and frogs connecting their habitat. However, they should be designed with risen 'dry ledges' of at least 50 cm wide on each side of the central watercourse to facilitate animal movement.

If roads bisect amphibian habitat and migration routes, specially designed underpasses need to be provided (Figure 9.4).

Draft



Figure 9.4. Herpetofaunal underpass with deflector.

Traditional culverts and minor bridges (built on ditches, streams and rivers) can be modified to facilitate safe movement of amphibians under the highway. The position and size (length and diameter) of these structures, and the angle that they are approached by amphibians, are critical factors determining their success. If placed across peak activity zones, these passageways can help reduce impacts on habitat fragmentation significantly.

Lack of light within tunnels may deter usage by amphibians (Jackson & Tyning 1989, Krikowski 1989). For this reason, larger tunnels should be designed to provide sufficient light to encourage their use (Puky 2003) by maximising the diameter-to-length ratio of passageways to maximize light penetration and airflow.

Draft

Tunnels fitted with metal grates on the top (i.e. on road surface) may act as effective small passages for amphibians. Metal grates may be installed to facilitate light and air penetration; gaps on the metal grates will help amphibians to drop down into the passage.

In dry areas, an engineering solution to maintain moisture levels in the passageways needs to be found. Where an underpass falls on a waterway or wetland, provision should be made to fence the sides of the water channel to direct animals to the safe passage (Figure. 9.5).

Draft



Figure 9.5. A fenced waterway to guide aquatic reptiles and amphibians through the underpass.

Passageways should be designed to minimize environmental gradients. Amphibians are particularly sensitive to moisture condition and thus cement flooring of a passage may deter amphibian movement. Cement flooring is also likely to limit natural vegetation growth and may be unsuitable for cryptic animals.

Passageways must provide an unbroken connection between the linked habitats: there should not be gaps in the fencing of passages, and fencing or barriers should be made of durable material.

ii. Fencing to guide movement of amphibians

The aim of fencing is to prevent mortality and deter herpetofaunal movement on to roads by directing them to safe crossing points or passageways (Figure 9.6). If fencing can be erected along sufficient road lengths along 'fatality hotspots' and is properly designed, installed and maintained, it can significantly reduce road mortality (Malt 2012).

Fencing should cover all areas where the road intersects amphibian habitat and movement routes. It should ideally be located between two underpasses so as to guide animals to safe passageways.

The angle of fencing is an important aspect: if installed parallel to the road, a fence may exclude animals from the road but not direct them effectively towards passageways. An ideal design may be a zig-zag pattern, as described by Jackson and Tyning (1989). This design is likely to direct animals towards passageways at all points of contact, while simultaneously excluding animals. It is important to check if there are any holes or gaps remaining along the fence, particularly at culvert-fencing junctions, as this may reduce the effectiveness of the fencing.

Draft



Figure 9.6. Fencing along roadside habitat to prevent herpetofauna from getting on to the roadway and to guide them to use the passageway. Source: Adapted from Gilbert et al. 2015.

A 1 m-high reinforced cement concrete (RCC) wall, having an outward lip of at least 50 cm, may be constructed along two sides of the road to prevent animals from accessing it and direct them to use passageways. This RCC structure may also act as crash barrier for vehicles and would help prevent pollutants and litter from entering adjacent natural habitat (e.g. forests and streams of protected areas). If the road is built in a high-rainfall area, a small opening at the base of such structure covered with iron mesh should be provided every 10-20 m along the road to permit drainage.

Draft

Most fences act as a one-way barrier: the movement of amphibians is only prohibited in a single direction. The top of the fence should thus curve away from the highway, in order to prevent tree frogs from climbing over (Puky 2003). Fences should be at least 50 cm high. However, in case of fencing for both reptiles and amphibians they need to be at least 80 cm high above ground and 20 cm below ground.

iii. Measures to reduce impacts on breeding success of amphibians

Protection of breeding sites of amphibians is of the utmost importance in the planning of linear developments, especially transportation corridors. Specific measures to protect the breeding sites of amphibians that fall within highway or railway corridors are given below.

i. The availability of amphibian breeding areas (e.g. streams, ponds, lakes, wetlands) along the proposed corridor must be mapped using GIS (Figure 9.2).

ii. Roads and railway lines should be located at a distance of at least 1 km away from amphibian breeding sites: although limited data are available on amphibian movement, most native species are likely to move less than a kilometre during dispersal or migration.

iii. Construction of new amphibian breeding sites or ponds, or restoration of habitat in previously used but degraded breeding sites, could be valuable mitigation measures which help to link populations.

iv. Pond design should take into account measures to assist in the long term management of amphibians. Studies should be undertaken to determine the number, size and type of breeding sites that will be needed to provide effective mitigation.

v. The design of breeding pond may vary according to the particular biological requirements of targeted species or amphibian assemblages. The exact size and shape of breeding ponds should be designed in consultation with amphibian experts.

Draft

vi. If new breeding ponds are located close to the road, care should be taken to separate their catchment from the road drainage system, to avoid pollution.

vii. Management of breeding ponds may include the removal of extensive growths of aquatic vegetation and the removal of predatory fish.

Traffic noise is known to interfere with noise perception in amphibians (Parris et al. 2009). If a road is planned to pass through forest, especially in the Western Ghats or Northeast India, mitigation measures should include the construction of noise barriers along the length of forested sections of road. The barriers should be made of synthetic fibre (A) of height 1.5m, and may also be constructed on the rigid crash barrier on either side of the highway. Guidance on the design of noise attenuation measures is provided in Chapter 11.

iv. Measures to reduce use of road surfaces by snakes for thermoregulation

Thermoregulation has been found to be the primary factor influencing the number of snakes on roads or the time spent on the road surface (Brattstrom 1965; Moore 1978; Sullivan 1981; Bernardino & Dalrymple 1992; Ashley & Robinson 1996). Pragatheesh and Rajvanshi (2013) thus recommend placing strips of different surfaces that may be attractive to thermoregulating snakes next to the road in areas known to have high mortality (Figure 9.7), and testing their effectiveness in diverting snakes from the road surface. Results and practical application of these trials should significantly reduce the mortality of snakes of high conservation importance, including the Indian rock python and Russell's viper.

Draft



Figure 9.7. Creation of alternative sites for thermoregulation of snakes.

v. Measures to facilitate turtle movement across roads and railway lines

Turtles exemplify the types of species least able to disperse across roads and railway lines. Breeding female turtles are the most likely portion of the population to be killed by turtle-vehicle collisions (Langen, 2011). These deaths are extremely

detrimental to turtle populations and can, in some cases, cause a total collapse of a given population due to the loss of breeding-aged females and lack of recruitment.

Installation of temporary exclusion fencing to direct turtles and other small animals to an existing culvert can be an effective measure to prevent turtle mortality and facilitate their safe passage across roads and railway lines to seasonally available habitat. Fencing should be carefully designed, located, installed, and maintained to reduce turtle mortality rates. The West Japan Railway Company recently installed turtle passages to enable native turtles to cross the railway lines safely. Prior to their installation, the turtles would get injured or die after getting stuck between railway tracks. The presence of turtles on the tracks could also endanger the safety of people in trains.

Japan Railways installs turtle crossings across railway tracks. Source: Photograph- boredpanda.com

Draft

III RETROFITTING OF EXISTING STRUCTURES TO FUNCTION AS WILDLIFE CROSSING STRUCTURES

Existing structures such as bridges, culverts and other drainage structures can be modified to enable animals to use them for passage across highways. This modification is a cost-effective measure for mitigating impacts of highways or railways where no new mitigation structures are proposed. The following points should be kept in mind while retrofitting existing structures:

- Animals' responses to retrofitted structures may vary; some animals/taxa for example, elephant may have special requirements that may not be fulfilled through retrofitting.
- For drainage structures that are inundated seasonally or perennially, shelves made of wood or boulders can be installed to allow movement of animals.
- If a structure is not already being used, the approach to the structure and nearby vegetation may be altered, and human-related disturbance may be reduced, to attract animals to it and encourage its use.
- Structures that are too old, long or curved, or have other negative issues such as too much disturbance or land ownership conflict, may not be considered suitable for retrofitting.
- Wildlife fencing could be installed near these structures to funnel animals towards these passages.
- Placement of natural elements such as boulders and logs would make the structure appear more natural to the target animals.

IV IMPLEMENTATION AND FOLLOW-UP OF MEASURES

Where existing highway and railway lines pose significant ecological impacts, attempts to retrofit drainage culverts and bridges should be attempted to improve permeability to animals. (Ruediger & Jacobson 2013)

Rigorous and timely implementation of the mitigation measures described in previous sections, giving due consideration to the design specifications and particular features required, is crucial for minimizing the impacts of roads and railways on wildlife. Scientists, wildlife managers and engineers should all be involved in supervising the execution of mitigation measures during construction, to ensure that the design and structures proposed in the plan are faithfully implemented.

Draft

1. Gilbert et al. (2015) underline the importance of assessing the effectiveness of mitigation measures that have been implemented, and adjusting them to improve their performance and/ or replicating effective measure in other areas to minimise animal mortality. Four follow-up methods are commonly used: 'Before and after impact control' studies to examine change in animal abundance on roads and railways.
2. Use of radio telemetry to examine animal movements around roads and railways.
3. Evaluation of the willingness of animals to utilize passageways.
4. Monitoring of passages using remote cameras and mark-recapture studies. Camera traps may also be used to check the utilisation of passageways by wild animals during the operational phase of a project.

Mitigation measures found to be effective in reducing animal mortality may be replicated in other areas to minimise the impact of vehicular or rail traffic on wildlife.

References

Andrews, K. M. and J. W. Gibbons. 2005. How do highways influence snake movement? Behavioral responses to roads and vehicles. Copeia **4:** 772-782.

Andrews, K. M., J. W. Gibbons and D. M. Jochimsen. 2008. Ecological effects of roads on amphibians and reptiles: a literature review. Herpetological Conservation **3:**121-143.

Ashley, E. P. and J. T. Robinson. 1996. Road mortality of amphibians, reptiles and other wildlife on the Long Point Causeway, Lake Erie, Ontario. Canadian Field- Naturalist **110:** 403-412.

Bernardino, J. and G. H. Dalrymple. 1992. Seasonal activity and road mortality of the snakes of the Pa-hay-okee wetlands of Everglades National Park, USA. Biological Conservation **61:** 71-75.

Brattstrom, B. H. 1965. Body temperatures of reptiles. American Midland Naturalist **73:** 376- 422.

Draft

Forman, R. T. T., D. Sperling, J. A. Bissonette, A. P. Clevenger, C. D. Cutshall, V. H. Dale, L. Fahrig, R. France, C. R. Goldman, K. Heanuk, J. A. Jones, F. J. Swanson, T. Turrentine, and T. C. Winter. 2003. Road Ecology Science and Solutions. Island Press, Washington, D.C., USA.

Gilbert B. H. J, J. L. Rileyt, D. Lesbarreres and J. D. Litzgus. 2015. Mitigating reptile road mortality: fence failure compromises ecopassage effectiveness. PLoS One. doi: 10.1371/journal/pone.

Grossi, J. L., H. Coffre and R. Marciau. 2001. Conservation Strategy for Amphibians in the Rhone-Alpes Region. Tools in Preserving Biodiversity in Nemoral and Boreonemoral Biomes of Europe, Naconex. pp. 76-79.

Hels, T. and E. Buchwald. 2001. The effect of road kills on amphibian populations. Biological Conservation **99:** 331-340.

Heyer, W. R., M. A. Donnelly, R.W. McDiarmid, L. A. C. Hayek and M. S. Foster, (eds.) 1994. Measuring and Monitoring Biological Diversity. Standard Methods for Amphibians. Washington, Smithsonian Institution Press.

Jackson, S. D. and T. F. Tyning. 1989. Effectiveness of drift fences and tunnels for moving spotted salamanders Ambystoma maculatum under roads. In T. E. S. Langton, editor. Amphibians and Roads. pp. 93-99. Proceedings of the Toad Tunnel Conference, Rendsburg, Federal Republic of Germany, 7-8 January 1989. pp. 202.

Krikowski, L. 1989. The 'light and dark zones': two examples of tunnel and fence systems. In T. E. S. Langton, editor Amphibians and Roads. pp. 89-91. Proceedings of the Toad Tunnel Conference, Rendsburg, Federal Republic of Germany, 7-8 January 1989. pp. 202.

Langen, T. A. 2011. Design Considerations and Effectiveness of Fencing for Turtles: Three Case Studies along Northeastern New York State Highways. Proceedings of the 2011 International Conference on Ecology and Transportation.

Draft

Malt, J. 2012. Assessing the Effectiveness of Amphibian Mitigation on The Sea to Sky Highway. Population Level Effects and Best Management Practices for Minimizing Highway Impacts. Final report. Ministry of Forest, Land and Natural Resource operation. South Coast Region. pp. 33.

Mazerolle, M. J., M. Huot and M. Gravel. 2005. Behavior of amphibians on the road in response to car traffic. Herpetologica **61:**380-388.

Moore, R. G. 1978. Seasonal and daily activity patterns and thermoregulation in the southwestern speckled rattlesnake (*Crotalus mitchelli pyrrhus*) and the Colorado desert sidewinder (*Crotalus cerastes laterorepens*). Copeia 449-432.

Parris, K. M., M. Velik-Lord and J. M. A. North. 2009. Frogs call at a higher pitch in traffic noise. Ecology and Society **14(1):** 25.

Pittman, S. E., M. S. Osbourn and R. D. Semlitsch. 2014. Movement ecology of amphibians: A missing component for understanding population declines. Biological Conservation **169:** 44-53

Pragatheesh, A. and A. Rajvanshi. 2013. Spatial pattern and factor influencing the mortality of snakes on the national highway-7 along Pench Tiger Reserve, Madhya Pradesh, India. Oecologia Australis **17(1):** 20-35.

Puky, M. 2003. Amphibian mitigation measures in Central-Europe. In C. L. Irwin, P. Garrett and K. P. McDermott, editor. Conference on Ecology and Transportation, Center for Transportation and the Environment, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, N.C. pp. 413-429.

Rosen, P. C. and C. H. Lowe. 1994. Highway mortality of snakes in the Sonoran Desert of southern Arizona. Biol Conserv **68:** 143–148.

Ruediger, W. C. and S. L. Jacobson. 2013. Multiple-use Crossing Structures for Providing Wildlife Habitat Connectivity. Proceedings of the 2013 International Conference on Ecology and Transportation (ICOET 2013).

Draft

Shine, R., M. Lemaster, M. Wall, T. Langkilde and R. Mason. 2004. Why did the snake cross the road? Effects of roads on movement and location of mates by garter snakes (*Thamnophis sirtalis parietalis*). Ecology and Society **9(1):** 9.

Sullivan, B. K. 1981. Observed differences in body temperature and associated behavior of four snake species. Journal of Herpetology **15**: 245-246.

USFS. 2015. Wildlife Crossings Toolkit. Available from: http://www.fs.fed.us/wildlifecrossings/resources/retrofitting-structures.php

Draft

10. NON-STRUCTURAL MEASURES FOR REDUCING MORTALITY: SIGNAGE AND WARNING SYSTEMS

The purpose of animal warning signs and detection systems is to prevent or reduce the number of Animal-Vehicle Collisions (AVCs). Animal detection systems detect large animals before they enter the road or rail corridor to alert drivers of their presence and warn drivers of collision risk.

SIGNAGE:

Signs warning of wildlife are put up along stretches of roads or railway lines where animals are known to occur or use local habitat, to caution drivers about the potential presence of animals. Such signs are already in use on railway lines through Mahananda Wildlife Sanctuary in West Bengal and Rajaji National Park in Uttarakhand in India, and several roads passing through PAs in India. (It is important to note that, although signs are effective in reducing wildlife mortality through collisions, they do not address the barrier effect of transportation corridors: Huijser et al. 2015.)

All warning signs can be grouped into the following five categories (Mastro et al. 2008):

i. *Caution signs*: Simple caution signs are commonly used to alert drivers to the presence of wildlife crossing zones. In India, the most common caution signs near railway lines are simple warning signs with silhouettes of the animal that may be using the crossing, sometimes together with a prescribed speed limit or written message (Figure 10.1).

Draft

Figure 10.1. Simple caution signs to alert drivers of animal-movement areas to reduce Animal Vehicle Collisions (AVCs) in NH 6 and 7 in India. Source: Photographs by Akanksha Saxena.

Draft

Figure 10.2. Warning signs put up for train drivers near elephant crossing zones in Gulma near the Mahananda Wildlife Sanctuary, West Bengal and Motichur in Rajaji National Park, Uttarakhand. Source: Photographs by Shreya Dasgupta and Akanksha Saxena.

ii. *Enhanced caution signs:* Many caution signs are enhanced by adding words like 'Deer Xing' or illuminated with reflective tape, in addition to silhouettes of animals (Figure 10.3).

Figure 10.3.Enhanced caution signs can be placed in high AVC areas; e.g. signs placed along the highway passing through the Kaziranga National Park, Assam, India. Source: Photographs by A. Pragatheesh.

Although multiple studies have noted that caution signs and enhanced caution signs are so common that motorists tend to ignore them, surveys also show that most motorists (76%) said that caution signs increased their alertness (Stout et al. 1993).

Draft

iii. *Temporary wildlife warning signs*: These signs may be put up to warn drivers of wildlife presence during specific times of the day or year: e.g. during animal migration or active and/or breeding periods of amphibians and reptiles (Figure 10.4).

Figure 10.4. Temporary signs such as these can be useful to reduce road kills of migratory species, amphibians and reptiles. Source: Photographs from internet.

iv. *Dynamic message signs*: These electronic signs can be used to alert motorists of the presence of wild animals, or in areas of high AVCs (Figure 10.5). These signs are most effective at night when most AVCs occur.

Figure 10.5. Electronic message signs. Source: Photographs from internet.

POSTERS AND BILLBOARDS:

Posters and roadside billboards are put up on highways as part of programmes and campaigns to reduce animal mortalities due to collisions with vehicles (Figure 10.6). They also help to generate awareness of this issue among the public.

Draft

Figure 10.6. Poster created by the Department of the Interior,
Source: National Park Service, US, Photograph by John Wagner

Draft

Factors influencing the effectiveness of these signs are listed below:

- Animal detection systems are best installed at locations that have a history of AVCs, especially involving large mammals such as elephant, rhinoceros or gaur and where animals are known to pass frequently: daily or seasonally.
- The distance between signs and the frequency of these signs should be decided according to the occurrence of AVCs in particular stretches of roads: the higher the incidence of AVCs, the greater the signage.
- Roadside vegetation should be cleared, especially near curves and embankments, to make the signs visible to motorists and train drivers.
- The size, shape, colour and material (reflective, non-reflective) of signs should be chosen to make the signs most effective.
- Signs that highlight the conservation importance of the site through which the transportation corridor passes, such as World Heritage Site or National Park (e.g. the signs on National Highway 37 bordering the Kaziranga National Park, Figure 10.7), can help garner support for reducing traffic speed and increased awareness of drivers, thereby helping to protect several threatened species.

Draft

Figure 10.7. Animal crossing signs put up on National Highway 37 passing through the Kaziranga National Park, Assam, India, a UNESCO World Heritage Site (Natural). Source: Photographs by A. Pragatheesh

WARNING SYSTEMS:

Animal-activated warning systems or animal detection systems (ADS) flash warning signs to alert the motorists after detecting (mostly large) animals through sensors. They may be of different types, as described in Figure 10.8 below.



Figure 10.8. Types of animal-detection systems available today. Source: Modified from Huijser et al. 2008.

Animal-activated warning systems have proved to be very successful in reducing AVCs on roads of North American and European countries (Figure10.9).

Figure 10.9. Left: An area-cover ADS to detect large mammals on both sides of the pole; and Right: an infrared break-the-beam ADS. Source: Photographs by Marcel Huijser.

The **opto-acoustic deterrent** (Figure 10.10) is another example of an ADS**.** It is activated by vehicle headlights and emits optical light-emitting diode flash and sound signals to alert motorists or train drivers.

Draft

Figure 10.10. Animal detection and alerts systems like these are prevalent in European and North American countries. Source: Jafa Technologies Inc.

Radar systems to detect animals on railway tracks with auto-brake systems have been proposed by some agencies. Use of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) to detect wildlife on railway tracks and give early warnings to trains has also been proposed to arrest wildlife mortality due to trains.

Thermal cameras mounted on railway engines are proposed to be used by the Sri Lankan Railways Department on trains routed through Jaffna, to detect animals up to 1 km away and avoid collisions (Anon. 2014).

In 2004, the Polish National Railway introduced UOZ-1, or " ‘key stimuli proxy’ (Figure 10.11), a device emitting acoustic signals which create a fear factor in animals, deterring them from approaching the tracks. The gadget imitates naturally-occurring alarm calls or sounds, thus keeping animals away from the tracks without chasing them out of their habitat.

Draft

Figure 10.11. The UOZ-1 device that emits acoustic signals to deter animals from approaching railway tracks. Source: http://iene2014.iene.info

The usefulness of this device has recently been reviewed: it was found to have a material effect on wild animals (86% animals escaped) without any visible habituation of animals to the warning signals (Babinska-Werka et al. 2015).

There are a number of animal-detection and early warning technologies and devices currently being developed or tested:

i) Sensor-based technology is currently being developed to monitor elephant movement along railway tracks; it is not yet ready for application. In July 2013, the MoEFCC permitted the scientists of the Indian Institute of Technology,

Delhi, to field-test this technology in North Bengal. It consists of a series of heat- and motion-sensing devices that can be placed at different points along the railway tracks; they can detect body heat or the movement of animals that come close to the tracks. The information captured by the sensors will be relayed from one device to another in microseconds to alert the loco-driver and concerned authorities.

ii) The scientists of the Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru, are also working on an early warning system that is sensor- and network-based, to prevent motorists or train drivers from running over wild animals on the roads or railway tracks passing through forests. This low-powered, compact device consists of two sensors installed on opposite sides of the road or the railway track with one of the sensors placed alongside a retro-reflector. If any object comes between the two sensors, the retro-reflector screen triggers the device to reveal the distance, height, speed and profile or shape of the object detected. The device would then and issue early warnings to approaching motorists or train drivers to look out for wildlife, reduce speed and avert possible accidents.

iii) Mathur et al. (2014) have put forward an approach based on Wireless Sensor Networks: this approach aims to prevent elephant mortality due to trains and simultaneously to monitor the integrity of the rail track. It proposes the use of infrasonic sound for deterring the elephants from crossing the railway track. A novel, passive-node mobility mechanism would be used to provide input to the sensing nodes emitting infrasonic sound. Sensing devices would be placed in close proximity to areas of the track. Using a novel two-cycle communication and sensing check, the integrity of the rail track may also be evaluated and the result is communicated to the regional base station (RBS). This promising approach is subject to field evaluation and validation.

iv) OptaSense, in collaboration with Deutsche BahnNetz AG Europe's biggest rail provider, is testing 'Distributed Acoustic Sensing (DAS)' technology in various areas of operation, including animal detection. Although the system is already used in a range of other sectors, including oil and gas pipelines, the technique has not yet been tested on

Draft

railways. OptaSense proposes to introduce the technology on the commercial market in 2015 if the trial proves to be a success (Gray 2015).

i. Advantages of Animal Detections Systems:

- ADSs allow for the free and safe movement of wild animals; they are less restrictive than wildlife fences or crossing structures.
- ADSs are relatively easy to install compared with other mitigation structures such as fences or crossing structures, and are likely to be less expensive than these other measures.

ii. Disadvantages of Animal Detections Systems:

- The ADSs available today detect only large animals effectively; small animals are hard to detect.
- Compared with wildlife crossing structures, ADSs do not ensure the safe passage of animals beyond a certain traffic volume in case of roads.
- Some types of ADSs detect animals only during the night; animals crossing roads and railway lines during the day may not be protected.
- Equipment associated with the system (e.g. posts and sensors) may pose a hazard to animals as well as vehicles that run off the road, may be accidentally destroyed, stolen or vandalised.
- ADSs will not eliminate AVCs. However, available data suggest that the effectiveness of ADSs is comparable with that of wildlife crossing structures together with wildlife fencing.
- The ADSs systems may not last as long as wildlife crossing structures and wildlife fences.

iii. Multiple factors influencing the effectiveness of ADSs:

Draft

- **Road characteristics**. ADSs are usually not effective on wide roads or on roads with roadside vegetation that impedes operation of the sensors. They are also not effective on high-speed roads as well as high-traffic roads.
- **Siting and spacing of the ADS**. The ADS should be located at a site where a relatively large number of AVCs have taken place in the past, or at locations where animals are known to cross a road or railway line (for daily movement or seasonal migration). The gap between two adjacent sensors should be determined according to the range of the sensors, location of wildlife fences and local conditions.
- The distance required by a train to halt is a major factor that should be taken into consideration before deciding on the type of ADS to be installed (pers. comm. Marcel Huijser). Moreover, the topography of the area determines the minimum speed at which the train has to move; another factor that should be considered while planning the location of ADSs.
- The ADS site should allow for solar power or connection to a power source, and should have low risk of theft and vandalism.
- ADS systems are known to give 'false positives' or exaggerated signals: sensors may be triggered by falling leaves or by each and every individual of a herd of animals. Clearing all vegetation from the site of ADS installation would o avoid 'false positives' caused by moving leaves.
- ADS sensors should not be placed on 'blind spots' such as near or on curves, or slopes.

References:

Anonymous. 2014. Jaffna Trains to have Infrared Cameras to Save Elephants. Colombo Mirror. Available from: http://www.colombomirror.com/?p=739#.VkA7cXorLIU

Babinska-Werka, J., D. Krauze-Gryz, M. Wasilewski and K. Jasinska. 2015. Effectiveness of an acoustic wildlife warning device using natural calls to reduce the risk of train collisions with animals. Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment **38:** 6-14.

Draft

Gray, E. 2015. The Underdog: Preventing Animal Casualty on Railways. Available from: http://www.railway-technology.com/ features/featurethe-underdog-preventing-animal-casualties-on-railways-4532957/.

Huijser, M. P., C. Mosler-Berger, M. Olsson and M. Strein. 2015. Wildlife warning signs and animal-detection systems aimed at reducing wildlife-vehicle collisions. In R. Van der Ree, C. Grilo and D. Smith, editors. Handbook of Road Ecology. John Wiley and Sons Ltd. Chichester, UK. pp. 198-212.

Huijser, M. P., P. McGowen, A. P. Clevenger and R. Ament. 2008. Wildlife-Vehicle Collision Reduction Study: Best Practices Manual. Report to Congress. Western Transportation Institute, Montana State University, Bozeman, Canada.

Jafa Technologies Inc. Toll Collection and Traffic Management Consultants. Available from: http://deerdeter.com/

Mastro, L. L., M. R. Conover and S. N. Frey. 2008. Deer-vehicle Collision Prevention Techniques. Human-Wildlife Interactions. pp. 75.

Mathur, P., R. H. Nielsen, N. R. Prasad and R. Prasad 2014. Wildlife conservation and rail track monitoring using wireless sensor networks. In Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Wireless Communications, Vehicular Technology, Information Theory and Aerospace & Electronic Systems (VITAE), 2014. pp. 1-4. IEEE. 10.1109/VITAE.2014.6934504.

Stout, R. J., R. C. Stedman, D. J. Decker and B. A. Knuth. 1993. Perceptions of risk from deer-related vehicle accidents: implications for public preferences for deer herd size. Wildlife Society Bulletin **21:** 237-249.

Draft

11. MEASURES FOR NOISE ATTENUATION

INTRODUCTION

Wild animals rely on sound for effective navigation, intra- and inter-specific communication, avoiding danger and finding food for survival. Excessive noise caused by engines and emission of exhaust, aerodynamic sources, tyre/pavement interactions and movement of trains have multiple effects on ecosystems. Roads and traffic alter the physical and acoustic environment of species and ecological communities, both during construction and when a road is open to traffic. While the construction-related noise produced during earthworks, pile driving and road surfacing is usually of limited duration, the traffic-induced noise persists over time.

Noise may interfere with the acoustic signals that are used by various species for navigation, maintaining or establishing contact with members of a family or larger social group. Signals convey many types of message, including distress or danger, the presence of food, and extent of territory (Fletcher & Busnel 1978). Noise may harm not only individual species, but it may also alter species' relationships, as in the case of song birds that depend on acoustics for defending territories, attracting mates, begging for food (by fledglings in a nest), and alarm calling (Slabbekoorn & Halfwerk 2009). Anthropogenic noise pollution is one of many factors contributing to the depletion of wildlife populations. Limited studies in India indicate a lower abundance and variability of birds in forests affected by air and noise pollution than in non-polluted forest in the same bio-geographic zone (Saha & Padhy 2011).

Laboratory experiments and field studies have suggested that wildlife are adversely impacted by noise pollution in the following four ways:

- Hearing loss, resulting from noise levels of 85 decibels dB(A) or greater.

- ‘Masking’, which is the inability to hear important environmental cues and animal signals because of other background noise (Warren et al. 2006).
- Non-auditory physiological effects, such as increased heart rate and respiration, and general stress reaction (Francis et.al. 2009).
- Behavioural effects, which vary greatly between species and according to the characteristics of the noise, resulting in, for example, abandonment of territory and failed reproduction (Barber et.al. 2009).

Although the generally accepted definition of ‘excessive noise’ is an increase of 10 dB(A) or greater, the sensitivity of different groups of wildlife varies. According to the US Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration (https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/), they can be summarised as:

- Mammals: < 10 Hz to 150 kHz ; sensitivity to -20 dB(A).
- Birds (more uniform than mammals): 100 Hz to 8-10 kHz; sensitivity at 0-10 dB(A).
- Reptiles (poorer than birds): 50 Hz to 2 kHz; sensitivity at 40-50 dB(A).
- Amphibians: 100 Hz to 2 kHz; sensitivity from 10-60 dB(A).

The Government of India, through the Noise Pollution Regulation and Control Rules (2000), prescribes ambient air quality standards. It directs State Governments to categorise areas into ‘industrial’, ‘commercial’, ‘residential’ or ‘silence’ areas or zones for the purpose of implementing noise standards for different areas (Table 11.1).

Draft

Table 11.1: Ambient Air Quality Standards in respect of Noise (ref: S.O.123(E), [14/2/2000] - Noise Pollution (Regulation and Control) Rules, 2000; website : http://www.moef.nic.in/noise_pollution)

Ambient Air Quality Standards in respect of Noise

Area Code	Category of Area Zone	Limits in dB(A) Leq*	
		Day Time	Night Time
(A)	Industrial Area	75	70
(B)	Commercial Area	65	55
(C)	Residential Area	55	45
(D)	Silence Zone	50	40

Note : 1. Day time shall mean from 6.00 a.m. to 10.00 p.m.

2. Night time shall mean from 10.00 p.m. to 6.00 a.m.

[1](3. 'Silence zone' is an area comprising not less than 100 m around hospitals, educational institutions, courts, religious places or any other area which is declared as such by the competent authority).

4. Mixed categories of areas may be declared as one of the four abovementioned categories by the competent authority.

*dB(A) Leq denotes the time weighted average of the level of sound in decibels on scale A which is relatable to human being.

A "decibel" is a unit in which noise is measured.

"A" in dB(A) Leq. denotes the frequency weighting in the measurement of noise and corresponds to frequency response characteristics of the human ear.

Leq: is an energy mean of the noise level over a specific period.

Noise pollution control and abatement is therefore a mandatory activity, especially when designing and planning a roadway project. Attenuation or reduction in noise due to vehicular traffic can be achieved by the use of noise barriers, limitation of vehicle speeds, alteration of roadway surface texture, limitation of heavy vehicles, use of traffic controls that smooth vehicle flow to reduce braking and acceleration, and tyre design. Costs of building in mitigation can be significantly reduced if the

draft

solutions are planned in the early scoping or planning stages of a roadway project. The following pages provide an introduction to noise attenuation structures, to act as a ready reference.

Noise may reduce the effectiveness of mitigation measures installed on roads to reduce the fragmentation and isolation of wildlife populations. It is therefore important to implement measures to reduce noise that has the potential to disturb human beings and wild animals.

NOISE ATTENUATION STRUCTURES OR NOISE BARRIERS

Noise barriers are the most commonly used form of noise abatement. On roads and highways, noise barriers (sometimes referred to as ‘noise walls’ or ‘sound walls’) are intended to block or reduce highway noise from reaching and affecting activities near the highway (Figure 11.1). They do this primarily by blocking the direct path that sound must travel between the source of sound on the highway and the receiver exposed to the sound. Effective noise barriers can reduce noise levels by 10 dB(A), cutting the loudness of traffic noise by half.

Draft



Figure 11.1: A pictorial depiction of artificial barriers and their role in Noise attenuation. Source: Noise Barrier Design Hand Book; US Department of Transportation 1976.

Factors Influencing Design of Noise barriers

Design of a noise barrier begins with the determination of its height and location relative to the roadway. These parameters are dictated by acoustical requirements, and are determined by the environmental engineer. Once these parameters have been determined, the structural design of the sound wall can proceed. The structural design of sound walls was principally controlled by the following factors: aesthetics, cost, maintenance, local influences, and structural constraints. In addition to

the structural factors mentioned above, several other factors influence the final design of sound walls. These include drainage, landscape, road access, vehicular impact, foundations, environmental impact, community impact, sight distance, right-of-way width, and soil conditions.

TYPES OF NOISE BARRIERS

There are two basic types of noise barrier systems with associated special features, namely ground- or structure-mounted systems (Table 11.2, Figure 11.2 a-f):

- Ground-mounted systems are noise barriers embedded in or placed on top of the ground. They consist of noise berms, noise walls, or a combination of noise berms and walls.
- Structure-mounted systems are noise barriers incorporated within other structures, such as noise walls on bridges or on retaining walls.

When selecting the most effective noise barrier, the following factors should be considered:

i) Existing noise levels.
ii) Volume of road/ highway traffic and associated noise level, or noise impact of a moving train.
iii) Sensitivity of different taxa to different noise levels.
iv) Design features that would be most appropriate to reduce the noise impacts on different taxa.
v) Feasibility and practicability of mounting noise abatement measures at the site (ground- or structure-mounted).
vi) Feasibility of abating traffic noise with traffic control measures.

Draft

Table 11.2: Types of noise barriers commonly used in road projects. Source: adapted from Noise Barrier Design Hand Book; US Department of Transportation 1976.

Type	Construction details	Aesthetics	Drainage, Utility and Safety	Cost and Maintenance
Ground-mounted noise walls				
1.Noise berm	Constructed from natural earth materials such as soil, stone, rock, rubble, etc. in a natural, unsupported condition.	High appeal and natural	May obstruct natural drainage channels and cause localised flooding. Safe, although occupies more space than a wall-type barrier. Height may be a consideration in significantly reducing the noise levels.	Low costs, if raw material is available on site for construction and repairs.
2. Post and panel noise wall	Consists of noise barrier panels mounted between foundation-supported posts.	Colour and material for the pre-fabricated structures may be chosen to blend with the surrounding landscape	Side drains are usually provided. May have weight and size limitations.	Installation costs are higher than overall maintenance costs. Replacement of barrier elements may be required throughout the life of the noise barrier; availability of replacement parts becomes a critical issue.
3. Free-standing noise wall	Includes barriers which support themselves using ‘zig-zag’ configuration or compacted soil and a well-drained stone base, a plain cement-concrete levelling pad, or a continuous reinforced concrete footing. Includes three types: precast concrete, planted or ‘bin’ types, and stone crib.	Wider aesthetic appeal and variability, as can be adapted to suit various soil types and landscapes	Side drains are usually provided.	High installation costs.

Draft

4. Direct burial panel	Involves burying a portion of one end of the panel (either precast concrete or wood) directly into the ground with no other means of foundation support	A unique architectural design is obtained due to the ‘tongue and groove ' design.	Differential settlement may not be even throughout the length of each panel, thus causing tilting and separating of the panels.	High installation costs
5. Cast-in-place concrete noise walls	Constructed at the project site, includes excavating for the footing, erecting form work, setting reinforcement steel, pouring concrete, surface finishing, and curing.	Less appealing as Surface textures obtained through raking, brushing, or stamping of concrete are not possible	Higher safety standards are achieved	High installation costs
6. Combination noise berm and noise wall systems.	Consist of a portion of the barrier height obtained through use of an earth berm with the remainder of the required height achieved by placing a noise wall on top of the berm. The foundation, post, and panel considerations for these wall portions are similar to those of the original structures.			
Structure-mounted noise walls				
7. Structure-mounted noise walls	Noise walls used on structures, especially on bridges and retaining walls. Overall considerations include maintenance, protection of traffic (both on and beneath bridge), accessibility to areas requiring modifications, bridge vibrations due to existing traffic, vibrations from construction operations, and potential environmental mitigation measures (e.g. related to painting beams or working over waterways or wetland areas).			Require additional costs of structural modifications on existing bridges and retaining walls.

Draft

Figure 11.2. (a-f) Images for types of noise barriers commonly used in road and rail projects.

- **Noise berms. Source:** http://www.well.com/user/pk/waterfront/EastshoreStatePark/Berm.html

b. Post and panel noise wall. Source: http://www.geostructures.com/solutions/structures-walls/sound-walls.

c. Free-standing noise wall. Source: http://www.a1highways.com.au/projects/services/sound-barrier-division/.

d. Free-standing noise wall (2). Source: http://www.hsmlandscaping.ca/lawn_care_landscaping_retaining_walls.p.

e. Direct burial panel. Source: http://www.gardenvisit.com/blog/2009/10/01/acoustic-noise-barriers-and-sustainable-landscape-architecture/.

f. Cast-in-place concrete noise walls. Source: http://precast.org/2014/09/integrated-sound-wall-system/.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

i. Material types in noise barriers

Globally, there are no specific requirements or regulations related to the selection of material types in the construction of road- traffic noise barriers, although individual highway agencies select the material types to use when building their barriers (Anon. 2011). Highway agencies normally make this selection based on a number of factors such as aesthetics, durability, maintenance requirements, cost, public views, etc. The material chosen should be rigid and of sufficient density (approximately 1.8 kg/0.1 m^2 minimum) to provide a loss of 20 dB(A) greater than the expected reduction in the noise diffracted over the top of the barrier (*ibid*).

ii. Use of vegetation as a noise barrier

Vegetation, if it is high enough, wide enough, dense enough and opaque, may reduce highway traffic noise. Approximately 60 metres width of dense vegetation can reduce noise by 10 dB(A); it is usually impossible, however, to plant enough vegetation along a road to achieve such reductions. It would be ideal to create noise buffers using a diversity of tree species, with a range of foliage shapes and sizes: a combination of shrubs and trees may be necessary to achieve this effect. Evergreen species that could provide a year-round buffer would be desirable.

iii. Height of a noise barrier

To produce at least 5 dB(A) reduction, a barrier must be tall enough (and long enough) to block the line of sight between a source and a receiver. If a barrier is too short, or if there are gaps between barriers, the noise can travel around the end of the barrier wall, reducing its effectiveness. Provided that sufficient barrier segments are installed, the noise barrier can achieve a 5 dB(A) reduction, even with gaps between segments. Computer-generated models should preferably be used to determine the optimum overall barrier dimensions.

Draft

iv. Noise barriers and neighbourhood planning

Project design engineers should be involved in decisions on the optimum locations of noise barriers: they should be asked for input regarding sight distance requirements, right-of-way issues, utility easements, and foundation requirements. Noise barriers should not cause any displacement or relocation of receivers. It is normally not cost-effective to build a noise barrier for a single receiver. Large gaps for driveways and alleys entering onto a roadway greatly reduce the effectiveness of a barrier. Access streets should not be closed to eliminate large gaps in a noise barrier and thereby enhance its effectiveness, unless requested and approved by local government officials. Associated responsibilities should be clearly spelled out in a written agreement prior to the final environmental clearance.

Traffic-noise analyses and any associated noise-abatement measures are not intended to be used to reshape or reconfigure existing neighbourhoods. Earth berms, although natural in appearance, may require a large plan area (right-of-way) to reach the height required to be effective.

v. Noise barriers on hilly, elevated, or depressed sites

Noise barriers are normally not effective for receivers on a hillside, overlooking the highway, or for receivers at heights above the top of the noise barrier. Depressed and elevated roadways normally result in somewhat lower noise levels (3−5 dB(A)), potentially eliminating the need for a noise barrier or requiring a lower barrier than would otherwise be the case.

vi. Effects of holes and surface texture

Small gaps and drainage holes (less than 3% of the total surface area) do not significantly reduce a noise barrier's overall acoustical effectiveness. The surface roughness of a barrier matters only if it is of the same order of magnitude as the wavelength of sound that the barrier is intended to attenuate. Because the wavelength of 100-Hz sound is around 3 metres, ordinary surface roughness has little effect.

vii. Multiple-reflection issues

Draft

Multiple reflections of traffic noise between two parallel plane surfaces, such as noise barriers or retaining walls on both sides of a highway, can theoretically reduce the effectiveness of individual barriers and contribute to overall noise levels. During the preliminary design of noise barriers, the possible influence of parallel reflections should be checked.

viii. Effects of absorptive materials

Constructing barriers using sound-absorptive materials significantly reduces the noise level experienced by drivers on the roadway. It does not significantly reduce the noise level *away* from the highway, except when the highway has barriers on both sides.

Draft

References

Barber, J. R., K. R. Crooks and K. M. Fristrup. 2009. The costs of chronic noise exposure for terrestrial organisms. Trends in Ecology and Evolution **25(3)**, doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2009.08.002.

Fletcher, J.L. and R.G. Busnel. 1978. Effects of Noise on Wildlife. Academic Press, London.

Francis, C. D., C. P. Ortega and A. Cruz. 2009. Noise Pollution Changes Avian Communities and Species Interactions. Current Biology **19**, 1415–1419, doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2009.06.052.

Saha, D. C. and P. K. Padhy. 2011. Effect of air and noise pollution on species diversity and population density of forest birds at Lalpahari, West Bengal, India. Science of the Total Environment **409(24):** 5328–5336**.**

Slabbekoorn, H. and W. Halfwerk. 2009. Behavioural Ecology: Noise Annoys at Community Level. Current Biology. **19(16)**. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2009.07.002.

US Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration. 1976. Noise Barrier Design Handbook.

Warren P. S., M. Katti, M. Ermann and A. Brazel. 2006. Urban bioacoustics: it's not just noise. Animal Behaviour **71**: 491-602. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2005.07.014

Websites:

- http://www.rdso.indianrailways.gov.in/works/uploads/File/Guililines%20on%20noise%20and%20vibrations%20in%20metro%20railways(1).pdf
- Noise Barrier Design Handbook. Available at http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/noise_barriers/design_construction/design/design04.cfm
- Noise Pollution Norms. Government of India http://envfor.nic.in/citizen/specinfo/noise.html

Draft

PART III:

MITIGATION OF IMPACTS OF POWERLINES

The clearing of native vegetation in powerline corridors may affect the permeability of habitat for a wide range of wildlife. In addition, animals other than birds may be injured or killed as a result of collisions with or electrocution by powerlines. However, the main impacts of powerlines are undoubtedly on birds. For this reason, the scope of this section is limited to addressing collision and electrocution impacts on avifauna.

12. MITIGATION OF ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS OF POWERLINES ON BIRDS

Electrocution of birds, and their collision with powerlines, is not only a topic of conservation concern but also an issue of serious economic and financial costs. Appropriate routing and structure of powerlines is said to reduce the risks of bird collision and electrocution by 50% or more (Prinsen et al. 2011).

Several guidelines have been suggested by different experts and agencies to minimise the impacts of powerlines on birds (Haas et al. 2005; APLIC 2006; Tucker & Treweek 2008; Prinsen et al. 2012). Based on these guidelines, the following steps are recommended:

1. Early planning and rigorous Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) are two core requirements for reducing bird mortality due to powerlines, as well as minimising the risks of costly power outages. A nationwide strategy should be developed and supported to undertake the long-term planning of electricity grid networks as a priority. Planning should include the use of state-of-the-art bird protection equipment, and burying low-to medium-voltage power lines below ground where feasible. In the Netherlands, burying these lines effectively removes the problem of bird electrocution. This prevention measure is also utilised in other European countries such as Belgium, United Kingdom, Germany, Denmark and Norway. EIA is an invaluable tool to inform decision making, helping to ensure that powerlines are appropriately routed and designed.
2. Decisions on the routing of powerlines and shifting of transmission structures should be done collaboratively, involving the electricity supplier company, Government bodies, conservation agencies, land owners and other interested and affected parties, culminating in one or more by a memorandum of understanding. In South Africa, for

Draft

example, this practice has been done by cooperation between the single utility company, Eskom, and a conservation NGO, the Endangered Wildlife Trust, and has helped resolve problems of bird electrocution and collisions, which was most effective (Prinsen et al. 2012).

3. A database should be prepared that includes relevant information which must be taken into account in planning powerline corridors: location of Protected Area networks; important bird and wildlife habitat; presence of threatened bird species that are particularly vulnerable to collisions or electrocution, their flight routes between feeding, breeding and resting habitats; as well as information on migratory corridors.
4. A list of key conservation areas and species should be identified from this database to identify priorities for mitigation along different stretches of the powerline corridor: the routing of new powerlines should strive to avoid key areas and structures should incorporate the latest technology. Existing sections of powerline should be retrofitted with state-of-the-art technology or be re-routed away from key areas to reduce the risks of electrocution and collision.
5. A post-construction monitoring programme should be developed to check the efficiency of mitigation measures and enable further improvement.
6. Management of facilities and sites near to powerlines that are known to attract birds—especially raptors, such as carcass and garbage dumps, can help minimise bird mortalities.

I. MODIFICATIONS TO POWERLINES TO MINIMIZE BIRD ELECTROCUTIONS:

Measures to prevent bird electrocution are of paramount importance and are easier to achieve than measures to prevent collisions. Retrofitting existing powerlines is considered to be best practice to prevent bird electrocutions (APLIC 2006; Prinsen et al. 2012). The following measures should be used:

Draft

1. A power pole configuration should be designed to minimize avian electrocution risk by providing a separation between energized conductors or phases and grounded hardware larger than the wrist-to-wrist or head-to-foot distance of a bird.



Figure 11.1. To prevent avian electrocutions, APLIC (2006) recommended separation of phase wires a minimum of 150 cm horizontally and 110 cm between phases and grounded equipment on the cross-arms. Source: Thompson 1978.

2. Places where such separations are not possible, bare parts of poles like phase wire, insulators, jumper wires should be covered by a non-conductor insulated material.



Figure 11.2: A power pole showing use of fibreglass cross arms, arrester caps and insulators on phase and jumper wires which prevent electrocution of birds. (Source: http://www.anadarko.com)

3. In the case when insulation of distribution lines is not possible, perch deterrent can be placed on the pole to prevent a bird from perching on it.

Figure 11.3. Perch deterrent like the one shown here are known to be effective in reducing raptor electrocutions in the USA. Source: Slater and Smith 2010

4. Installation of phase wires below the cross arms, using suspension insulators, can reduce electrocution risk.
5. Artificial bird perches or nesting platforms can be provided on the top of the power poles for birds in habitats that lack perching and nesting sites, thereby helping to prevent electrocution.

Draft

II. DESIGN AND CONFIGURATION OF POWERLINES TO MINIMISE BIRD COLLISIONS:

Measures to prevent or reduce bird collisions with powerlines are difficult. However, the risk of collisions can be minimised by re-routing powerlines away from key bird areas, attaching bird diverters to lines and burying the power cables underground in areas used intensively by birds (APLIC 2006; Prinsen et al. 2012).

1. Powerlines should not be located in Important Bird Areas (IBAs) such as water bodies and forested areas where large numbers of birds congregate. Where powerlines have already been erected in IBAs, re-routing or shifting those lines or by retrofitting mitigation measures can reduce bird collisions.

2. Potential collision risk can be reduced by keeping all key bird areas on the same side of the powerline corridor, providing a safe 'flyway' by minimising the need for birds to cross that corridor; i.e. where a bird's feeding, resting and/ or nesting sites are in close proximity, power lines should avoid bisecting those areas (Figure 11.4).

Draft



Figure 11.4. Image showing the placement of power line corridors that are a threat to birds (A) and the placement that will reduce the risk of bird collision (B) (after Thompson 1978). Source: APLIC 2012

Placement of multiple, vertical layers of conductor wires should be minimised. Alternatively, the conductor wires should be placed closer together, vertically, so as to enhance the visibility of an obstruction to birds.

3. New powerline corridors should be placed close to an existing corridor where feasible, so that birds already accustomed to the presence of powerlines in the area will be able to see the collective obstacle as well as have a better chance of avoiding the second power line if it is of the same, or lower, height (Figure 11.5).

Draft



Figure 11.5. Image showing the recommended placement of multiple power line corridors that will reduce the risk of bird collisions (after Thompson 1978). Source: APLIC 2012

4. If feasible, the earth wire of the powerline corridor should be removed: birds frequently collide with the earth, as it is less visible and smaller in diameter (Figure 11.6).



Figure 11.6. A high voltage transmission line showing the conductor wire and the partially visible earth wire that birds frequently collide with.

Draft

5. Where the earth wires cannot be removed, using line marker devices should increase their visibility. Marker devices are available in several colours and are visible to birds from a long distance. Many types of marker devices are available, such as spheres, swinging plates, spiral vibration dampers, strips, flight diverters, bird flappers, ribbons, tapes, flags, and crossed bands (Figure 11.7).

Figure 11.7. Range of marker devices used on wires to improve their visibility to birds. Source: PR Tech website; http://pr-tech.com/shop/birdaway-bird-diverters/

Draft

6. Line markers should be as large as possible. The spacing between them should not be more than 5 to 10 m. Marker devices should be chosen to contrast as much as possible with the background colours (Figure 11.8), and, importantly, should be visible at night: most bird collisions are said to occur at night.



Figure 11.8. Design and configuration of markers to reduce bird collisions (after Eskom Transmission [South Africa] 2009). Source: APLIC 2012.

References

Avian Power Line Interaction Committee (APLIC). 2006. Suggested practices for avian protection on power lines: The state of the art in 2006. Edison Electric Institute, Washington, D.C.

Avian Power Line Interaction Committee (APLIC). 2012. Reducing avian collisions with power lines: The state of the art in 2012. Edison Electric Institute and APLIC. Washington, D.C.

Haas, D., M. Nipkow, G. Fiedler, R. Schneider, W. Haas and Schürenberg, B. 2005. Protecting Birds from Powerlines. Nature and Environment, No. 140. Council of Europe Publishing, Strassbourg.

Prinsen, H. A. M., J.J. Smallie, G.C. Boere and N. Píres (Compilers). 2011. Guidelines on How to Avoid or Mitigate Impact of Electricity Power Grids on Migratory Birds in the African-Eurasian Region. CMS Technical Series No. XX, AEWA Technical Series No. XX, Bonn, Germany.

Prinsen, H.A.M., J. J. Smallie, G.C. Boere and N. Píres (Compilers). 2012. Guidelines on How to Avoid or Mitigate Impact of Electricity Power Grids on Migratory Birds in the African-Eurasian Region. AEWA Conservation Guidelines No. 14, CMS Technical Series No. 29, AEWA Technical Series No. 50, CMS Raptors MOU Technical Series No. 3, Bonn, Germany.

Slater, S. J. and Smith, J.P. 2010. Effectiveness of raptor perch deterrents on an electrical transmission line in Southwestern Wyoming. The Journal of Wildlife Management **74(5):** 1080–88.

Thompson, L.S. 1978. Transmission line wire strikes: mitigation through engineering design and habitat modification. In M. L. Avery, editor. Impacts of transmission lines on birds in flight. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. pp. 51–92

Draft

Tucker, G. and J. Treweek. 2008. Guidelines on How to Avoid, Minimise or Mitigate the Impact of Infrastructure Developments and Related Disturbance Affecting Water Birds. AEWA Conservation Guidelines No. 11, AEWA Technical Series No. 26, Bonn, Germany.

Draft

Part IV:

AVAILABLE GUIDANCE

Globally the body of knowledge and guidance on best practices adopted for mitigating impacts of linear development projects is fast accumulating. Best practice guidelines have been developed in many countries for different species and landscapes. This section provides a ready reckoner and quick glance at the information and substance to guidance available globally for adapting measures to mitigate impacts of such infrastructure.

Draft

LIST OF EXISTING GUIDANCE ON MITIGATION MEASURES TO ABATE ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS OF LINEAR INFRASTRUCTURE

Draft

BOOKS

Handbook of Road Ecology



- *van der Ree, R., D. J. Smith and C. Grillo, 2015*

The book brings together some of the leading researchers, academics, practitioners and transportation agency professionals from different parts of the world to contribute towards improving the ecological sustainability of linear infrastructure such as roads, rail and utility elements that dissect and fragment landscapes around the world. Chapter subjects include planning and design, construction, maintenance and management of linear infrastructure, monitoring and evaluation of impacts and effectiveness of mitigation measures, impacts and solutions for species groups and specific regions, with regional examples from Asia, South America and Africa.

Safe Passages: Highways, Wildlife, and Habitat Connectivity



- *Beckmann, J. P., A. P. Clevenger, M. Huijser, J. A. Hilty (eds.), 2010*

The book brings together the latest information on the emerging science of road ecology through detailed case studies spanning local site-specific interventions to state-wide planning for habitat connectivity, to national legislation. It deals with the importance of habitat connectivity in the context of roads and the current planning approaches and recent innovations aimed to mitigate road-related impacts.

Road Ecology: Science and Solutions



- *R. T. T. Forman et al., 2002*

In addition to laying the foundation of road ecology, the various chapters delve into the impacts of roads and transport infrastructure on vegetation, wildlife populations, water resources, aquatic ecosystems, wind, noise and atmosphere, and on the overall integrity of the landscape. The book also demonstrates, by example, the application of principles to mitigate ill-effects of roads on the environment.



Roads, Sensitive Habitats and Wildlife: Environmental Guideline for India and South Asia
- *Rajvanshi, A., V. B. Mathur, G. C. Teleki and S. K. Mukherjee, 2001*

Apart from being one of the early comprehensive guides to the ecological impacts of roads in natural areas in India and their interactions, the book provides guidance on tools and methods to carry out environmental assessments of such projects. It also describes the prevalent institutional and legislative framework pertinent to road infrastructure. Case studies of roads and rails passing through sensitive areas in the Indian subcontinent are included, to drive home the importance of assessments of anticipated ecological impacts of such infrastructure on natural areas, and the need to formulate and adhere to solutions to these problems.



The Ecology of Transportation: Managing Mobility for the Environment
- *Davenport, J. and J. L. Davenport (eds.), 2006*

The book reviews ecological impacts of all forms of transport: road, rail, ship and air. The focus of the book ranges from identification of threats, amelioration of damaging effects, planning and design of transport systems, to minimisation of environmental degradation. With respect to terrestrial transportation systems *viz.*, road and rail, the book deals with mortality, habitat fragmentation and other impacts on wildlife such as the barrier effect, methods to restore habitat connectivity across transportation corridors, and planning for ecologically-sound transport corridors by strategic environmental assessments, ecological engineering and other sustainable transport designs.

Draft

GUIDANCE DOCUMENTS

Guidelines for linear infrastructure intrusions in natural areas: roads and powerlines

- National Board of Wildlife, Ministry of Environment and Forests, India, 2011

The document gives an "overall policy priority schema and framework of generic and specific guidelines for the creation, design, realignment, removal, restoration and maintenance and mitigation measures for roads and powerlines in defined natural areas of importance in the country".

Environmental Impact Assessment Guidance Manual for Highways

- Administrative Staff College of India, Hyderabad, 2010

The document consists of a chapter-wise description of how an EIA report for this sector should be structured. The manual is aimed to help a wide range of users such as the proponent, the environmental consultant, the regulatory authority and decision-makers, as well as the public and other stakeholders. In summary, it is a comprehensive guide on the environmental clearance process in the highways sector.

Wildlife Crossing Structures Handbook: Design and Evaluation in North America

- Clevenger, A. P. and M. P. Huijser, 2011

The handbook provides technical guidelines for the planning, design (for particular species or species groups in different landscapes) and evaluation of wildlife crossing structures and their associated measures (fencing, gates). It describes ways to increase the effectiveness of established designs, and can be used to design crossing structures for highway construction and road upgrading projects. Though intended for North American landscapes, the handbook provides detailed guidelines for impact identification, remediation, planning and placement of crossing structures, and other practical applications.

Banff Wildlife Crossings Project: Integrating Science and Education in Restoring Population Connectivity Across Transportation Corridors

- A. P. Clevenger, A. T. Ford and M. A. Sawaya, 2009

A publication that highlights the success of science-based engineering solutions for creating crossing structures for the wildlife in the Banff National Park; this Park is bisected by a major transportation corridor.

Guidelines on Mitigating the Impact of Linear Infrastructure and Related Disturbance on Mammals in Central Asia

- Convention on Migratory Species (Wingard et al., 2014)

Draft

The guidelines delve into the legal frameworks available in Central Asian nations for mitigating the impacts of linear infrastructure on migratory species such as Asiatic and Tibetan Wild Ass, Tibetan Gazelle, Argali etc. and the assessment, planning, design, mitigation principles, construction standards and monitoring and evaluation principles.

Review of Mitigation Measures used to deal with the issues of Habitat Fragmentation
- *Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts (van der Ree et al., 2008)*

In addition to information on the effects of linear infrastructure on wildlife and effectiveness of measures to mitigate habitat fragmentation, the guidance contains a review of cost-benefit analysis studies for these measures.

Identifying the Best Locations Along highways to provide safe crossing opportunities for wildlife
- *Barnum, S. A., 2003 (Colorado Department of Transportation Research)*

The guidance summarises the ideal methods and techniques to identify crossing locations of wildlife for mitigation structures and other guidelines to create successful mitigation projects.

Interaction between roadways and wildlife ecology: A synthesis of highway practice
- *National Cooperative Highway Research Program, Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Washington D.C., 2002*

The guidance deals with topics such as the regulatory context in the transportation planning and development process, assessment and scale of impacts on wildlife ecology, analytical tools, and conservation measures and mitigation.

Design of terrestrial wildlife crossing system: Nature Conservation Practice Note
- *Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department, US, 2014*

This is a technical guide for designing crossing structures for terrestrial wildlife. The note states that design considerations have been suggested from the ecological perspective and that the engineering requirements and practicability are to be determined on a case-by-case basis.

Ecology Guidelines for Electricity Transmission Projects: A standard approach to Ecological Impact Assessment of High Voltage Transmission Projects
- *EirGrid (Ireland) and Natura Environmental Consultants, 2012*

These guidelines developed by EirGrid (an independent electricity Transmission System Operator (TSO) based in Ireland) provide best practice guidance for ecological impact assessment for both flora and fauna in the planning and construction of high-voltage transmission projects.

Draft

Guidelines for Assessment of Ecological Impacts of National Roads Schemes
- National Roads Authority, Ireland, 2009

The document aims to provide guidance on the assessment of impacts on the natural environment during the planning and design of national road schemes.

Principles, Practices and Challenges for Green Infrastructure Projects in Latin America
- Inter-American Development Bank, 2012

The document emphasises a multi-level approach to infrastructure development, in order to overcome the limitations of traditional project-by-project approaches. It promotes the development of smart, green infrastructure that would abate the long term impacts of habitat fragmentation and biodiversity loss caused by infrastructure in natural areas, with special reference to linear infrastructure.

Smart Green Infrastructure in Tiger Range Countries: A Multi-Level Approach
- Global Tiger Initiative, The World Bank, 2010.

Aimed at South and East Asian countries that have tiger populations, the document includes details of impacts of infrastructure development on tiger conservation landscapes, national and international policy options available to deal with infrastructure development, and cases of smart green infrastructure that have been developed in some of the tiger-range countries. The ultimate goal is to provide a sound basis for informed decision-making, and for infrastructure development plans to be integrated with national tiger conservation plans and policies.

Wildlife and Traffic: A European Handbook for Identifying Conflicts and Designing Solutions
- Iuell et al., (eds) 2003.

The manual describe the effects of infrastructure development on natural areas, especially with respect to fragmentation of natural areas. The handbook provides general advice on reducing the impacts of present, future and to-be-upgraded infrastructure. Guidance with respect to the assessment of such impacts, ecological compensation, and monitoring and evaluation of mitigation measures, is also provided.

Ecological Surveying Techniques for Protected Flora and Fauna during the Planning of National Road Schemes
- National Roads Authority, Ireland, 2009

The document provides comprehensive guidance for carrying out biological surveys for environmental assessments of road infrastructure. General guidelines for dealing with potential constraints, establishing baseline conditions and carrying out desk studies are provided. Group-

Draft

specific guidelines, such as general surveying guidelines for plants, fungi, invertebrates, birds, reptiles, amphibians, mammals etc, are given, along with 'key cards' for common Irish species. These cards may be modified for Indian flora and fauna.

Safe Passage: A user's guide to developing effective highway crossings for carnivores and other wildlife
- *Reudiger, B. 2007. Wildlife Consulting Resources*

The document provides a general account of the tools that can be used to plan connectivity projects: the different measures that can be taken to facilitate carnivore crossings, ranging from wildlife crossing signs for motorists to options of various crossing structures. Details of design considerations such as location, dimensions and species-specific considerations are also provided.

Wildlife Vehicle Collision Reduction Study: Best Practices Manual
- *U.S. Department of Transportation, 2008*

The report details the causes and impacts of wildlife-vehicle collisions, and explains the various mitigation options available to ensure the safe passage of animals and humans alike.

Roads in Rainforest: Best Practice Guidelines for planning, design and management
- *Goosem, M., E. K. Harding, G. Chester, N. Tucker, C. Harriss and K.Oakley, 2010*

These guidelines are aimed at planners, engineers and managers to inform them of the key ecological elements of rainforest environments that are unique, and thus require particular consideration when designing and constructing roads in these habitats.

Wildlife Crossings Guidance Manual
- *California Department of Transportation, 2007*

The manual presents case studies from California and elsewhere to illustrate guidance on tools to identify and assess wildlife crossings. It also includes a review of best practice and is aimed at enhancing efforts to evaluate and avoid, minimise or compensate for wildlife crossing conflicts.

Best practice guidance for biodiversity-inclusive impact assessment: A manual for practitioners and reviewers in South Asia
- *Rajvanshi et al., 2012*

Prepared as part of the IAIA project on Capacity Building in Biodiversity and Impact Assessment, the manual provides best practice guidance with specific examples from five countries in South Asia and draws on the extensive experience of key experts in the field. The manual thus

Draft

aims to provide practical information to assist planners and decision-makers in assessing the potential impacts of projects and policies on biodiversity, and the continued provision of ecosystem services.

Avian Protection Plan (APP) Guidelines

- *The Edison Electric Institute's Avian Power Line Interaction Committee (APLIC) and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), 2005*

The guidance document aims to help utilities devise an avian protection plan that would best fit their needs to reduce the operational and avian risks that result from avian interaction with electric utility facilities through guiding principles and examples. Available at http://www.aplic.org/mission.php.

Draft


Guidelines for linear infrastructure intrusions in natural areas: roads and powerlines

SAFE PASSAGE
A USER'S GUIDE TO DEVELOPING EFFECTIVE HIGHWAY CROSSINGS FOR CARNIVORES AND OTHER WILDLIFE


Environmental Impact Assessment Guidance Manual for
HIGHWAYS


Ecology Guidelines for Electricity Transmission Projects

Best practice guidance for biodiversity-inclusive impact assessment

IDB
Principles, Practices, and Challenges for Green Infrastructure Projects in Latin America
Juan David Quintero

Inter-American Development Bank
DISCUSSION PAPER
REVIEW OF MITIGATION MEASURES USED TO DEAL WITH THE ISSUES OF HABITAT FRAGMENTATION
November 2012


NCHRP
SYNTHESIS 305
NATIONAL COOPERATIVE HIGHWAY RESEARCH PROGRAM
Interaction Between Roadways and Wildlife Ecology
A Synthesis of Highway Practice


Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)
Guidelines for Addressing the Impact of Linear Infrastructure on Large Migratory Mammals in Central Asia


Ecological Surveying Techniques for Protected Flora and Fauna during the Planning of National Road Schemes


IDENTIFYING THE BEST LOCATIONS ALONG HIGHWAYS TO PROVIDE SAFE CROSSING OPPORTUNITES FOR WILDLIFE
DOT
RESEARCH
COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BRANCH


Banff Wildlife Crossings Project: Integrating Science and Education in Restoring Population Connectivity Across Transportation Corridors


Suggested Practices for Avian Protection On Power Lines:
The State of the Art in 2006

DRAFT

Glossary of ecological terms used in the manual

Abundance:	The total number of species in a particular ecosystem
Age class:	Individuals of a population in the same age range
Allee effect:	The positive correlation between population density and individual fitness
Amphibian:	Cold-blooded organisms that begin their lives in water and then adapt to live on land as adults
Animal trail:	A path in the forest made by repetitive use or passage of animals
Arboreal:	Meaning tree; related to animals that depend on trees to provide all or part of their life requirements
Auditory signal:	A call or vocalisation for communication among animals
Barrier effect:	The extent to which roads or other linear features prevent, or filter animal movement. The barrier effect can be quantified by species, populations and so on
Bask:	Behaviour of exposing the body to the sun or warm surfaces to regulate body temperature exhibited by cold-blooded animals
Biodiversity:	The variety of life at any given spatial scale including all the levels contained within, including genes, species, communities and ecosystems and their complex interactions
Biotic pressure:	Pressures to an organism caused by other living organisms living in the same ecosystem and interacting with the affected organism

Draft

Bottleneck:	Defined area (e.g. particularly narrow part of a habitat corridor that, due to the presence of transport infrastructure or encroachment of other land use, has become a limiting factor to animal migration or dispersal
Breeding colony:	A congregation of breeding individuals
Breeding:	Reproduction
Buffer:	Areas peripheral to a protected area where restrictions on resource use and special development measures are undertaken
Camera trapping:	A method for capturing wild animals on film in absence of humans, the data is then used for ecological research
Canopy:	Collection of above-ground individual plant crowns
Capture-mark-recapture:	Method used in ecology to estimate population size, where animals are initially captured, marked in some way (e.g. leg band) and then re-sighted or re-captured at a later date. The ratio of marked versus unmarked individuals is used to estimate the size of the population
Carnivore:	Animal that feeds on meat of other animals
Carrying capacity:	The maximum number of individuals of a given species that a given area's resources can sustain indefinitely without significantly depleting or degrading those resources
Connectivity:	The degree to which a landscape facilitates or impedes movement among habitat patches. The concept of connectivity is used to describe how the spatial arrangement and quality of elements in the landscape affect the movement of organisms among habitat patches
Conspecific:	Members of the same species

Draft

Core:	Areas within protected areas free of all human activities, also referred to as ‘inviolate’ areas
Corridor:	Components of the landscape that facilitate movement of organisms and continuation of ecological processes between areas of intact habitat
Crossing structure:	A structure such as a pipe, culvert, bridge, underpass or overpass that provides a passageway for wildlife over or under a road/railway. Most traditional crossing structures are primarily intended to facilitate the flow of water
Crossing zones:	Stretches of linear infrastructure frequently used by animals to cross from one side to the other
Cryptic:	Organisms that avoid observation or detection by their prey or predators, through camouflage, mimicry or by being nocturnal
Critically Endangered:	The most severe conservation status for wild populations on the IUCN’s Red List
Culvert:	Buried pipe or channel structure, that allows a watercourse and/ or road drainage to pass under a road or rail route
Density:	Number of organisms of a species present within an area
Depredation:	Raiding of crop fields by wild animals to eat crops
Diel cycle:	A period of 24 hours
Dispersal:	Ecological process that involves the movement of one or more individuals away from the population in which they were born to another location, or population, where they will settle and reproduce
Diurnal:	Activity pattern where animals are active during the day

Draft

Ecosystem service:	Benefits such as goods and services, provided to society by ecosystems, for example production of food and water, control of climate and disease, nutrient cycles and pollination
Ecosystem:	The community of living organisms (plants, animals, microbes) and non-living components of their environment (air, water, soil) interacting as a functional unit
Endangered:	The second most severe conservation status for wild populations on the IUCN's Red List, after Critically Endangered
Endemic:	An ecological state of a species being unique to a defined geographic location – either the particular country or a local area (narrow or local endemic)
Extinction:	The end of organism or a group of organisms after the death of the last individual of the species
Fatality hotspot:	Stretches along a road/railway line where maximum number of animal mortalities is concentrated
Faunal Passage or passageway:	Measure installed to enable animals to cross over or under a road, rail or canal without coming into contact with the traffic
'Flight or fight' response:	A physiological response to a perceived harmful attack or threat to survival wherein the animal either fights or flees
Fire regime:	Pattern, frequency and intensity of wildfires that prevail in an area
Foraging:	Searching for wild food resources
Furniture:	Logs, branches, rocks and other enrichment structures placed in wildlife crossing structures to provide shelter and/or protection from predators

Draft

Gene flow:	The transfer of alleles or genes from one population to another
Genetic drift:	Change in frequency of an allele in a population due to random sampling
Genetic viability:	The ability of a population to have a realistic chance of avoiding the problems of inbreeding and persisting in the long term by having a certain amount of genetic diversity and a certain minimum number of members
Gliding:	Mode of locomotion where an animal leaps or drops from high locations such as trees and covers great horizontal distances due to aerodynamic force to reach a landing surface (typically another tree)
Granivorous:	Animals feeding on the seeds or grains of plants
Green infrastructure:	Infrastructure that is designed and developed by considering conservation values and actions in concert with land development, growth management and built infrastructure planning
Habitat degradation:	Process of change in the characteristics of an area that renders it functionally unable to support the species present
Habitat fragmentation:	Process by which habitat loss results in the division of large, continuous habitats into smaller, more isolated remnants
Habitat matrix:	A combination of 'non-habitat' and range of native habitat areas, or a portion of a landscape where habitat patches and corridors are embedded in a wider mix of land uses
Habitat:	An area, usually consisting of predominantly native vegetation that is inhabited by a particular species

Draft

Herbaceous vegetation:	Vegetation composed mostly of herbs (plants which have no persistent woody stem above ground)
Herpetofauna:	The reptiles and amphibians of a particular region, habitat or geographical period
Home range:	The area in which an animal lives and accesses for normal daily activities such as food gathering, obtaining shelter, mating and caring for offspring
Important Bird Area:	An area recognised as being globally important habitat for the conservation of bird populations
Inbreeding depression:	Reduced biological fitness in a given population as a result of inbreeding, or breeding of related individuals
Invasive species:	Plants, animals or pathogens that are non-native to an ecosystem and whose introduction is likely to cause harm to, or oust, native species
Invertebrates:	Animals that do not possess or develop a vertebral column; e.g. insects, snails, worms
IUCN Red List:	A comprehensive inventory of the global conservation status of biological species
Landscape ecology:	The study of the pattern and interaction between ecosystems within a region, and the way these interactions affect ecological processes
Landscape permeability:	The quality of a landscape that allows organisms to move freely across it
Landscape:	A heterogeneous land area composed of a cluster of interacting ecosystems that creates a specific, recognisable pattern
Leaf litter:	Dead plant material such as leaves, twigs and barks that have fallen on the ground

Draft

Linear infrastructure:	Structures such as roads, railway lines, power transmission lines and canals that cause linear breaks in an otherwise contiguous and connected landscape
Long-ranging species:	Animals that tend to cover great distances
Mark-capture-recapture:	A method commonly used in ecology to estimate a uniquely marked animal's population size. The 'mark' may be natural—like stripes in tigers, or human-made—like colour tags on birds
Mega-herbivore:	A large, plant-eating animal (e.g. elephant)
Meta-population:	Set of local populations of a species that are spatially separated within some larger area, but which interact at some level. Genetic diversity is maintained by the dispersal of individuals from one local population to another
Meta-population dynamics:	The study of the interactions between meta-populations
Migration:	Journey undertaken by some species in response to changing seasons or climatic events, such as rainfall
Migratory birds:	Birds that exhibit regular seasonal movement, often north and south along a flyway, between breeding and wintering grounds
Minimum viable population:	A lower bound on the population of a species such that it can survive in the wild
Mitigation:	Action to avoid or prevent, reduce or minimise the severity of, or compensate for an adverse impact
Nest box:	A man-made enclosure provided for animals such as birds and small mammals to nest in
Nest or roosting substrate:	The base upon which a nest is built or on which birds rest and sleep, e.g. power poles, platforms, boxes and latticework in electricity masts

Draft

Nesting:	Behaviour of animals such as birds to build nests near the breeding season
Nocturnal:	Activity pattern of animals such as bats and fireflies that are active during the night
NTFP:	Non-Timber Forest Products like fruits, flowers, leaves
Perch:	Act of resting on elevated places such as trees or poles by birds
Pheromones:	Hormones secreted by animals for communication and other signals
Physiology:	Study of how organs and other systems of the body function
PIT tags:	Passive Integrated Transponder is an electronic tag to track and detect animals
Poaching:	Illegal hunting or killing of wild animals to obtain animal products
Population isolation:	Seclusion of a group of organisms as a result of little genetic mixing with other organisms due to physical, geographical or other barriers
Population viability:	The probability that a population will persist in the long term or within a given number of years, with given environmental conditions (or go extinct)
Population:	Total of all individuals of a species living in a particular area that have the capability to interbreed
Population:	Functional group of individuals that interbreed within a given, often arbitrarily chosen, area
Precautionary Principle:	A principle to guide decision making in the absence of scientific certainty, which states that precautionary measures should be taken when an activity may harm human health or the environment, and that measures should reflect precaution (i.e. be risk-averse). Typically, the proponent for an activity must prove that it will not cause harm
Predator:	An animal that naturally preys on other animals

Draft

Prey:	An animal that is hunted or killed by another for food
Protected area:	A clearly defined geographical space recognised, dedicated and managed to achieve long-term conservation of nature
Radio telemetry:	Involves monitoring radio signals sent from a device attached to an animal to track its movements
Rail or railway corridor:	A linear tract of land (right-of-way) through which a railway passes. It includes the area of land immediately influenced by the railway in terms of noise, visual, hydrological and atmospheric impacts: typically an area 50 to 100m from the edge of the road
Raptor:	A bird of prey that hunts other animals
Rare:	Species that are uncommon, scarce or infrequently encountered
Right-of-way:	The entire width of the reserved strip of land on which the linear infrastructure is built.
Road Corridor:	Linear surface used by vehicles plus any associated verges (usually vegetated). It includes the area of land immediately influenced by the road in terms of noise, visual, hydrological and atmospheric impacts: typically an area of 50 to 100m from the edge of the road
Road verge:	The vegetated area adjacent to roads; generally located outside the road shoulder
Roadkill:	Animals that have died as a result of collision with vehicles or trains
Rodents:	Mammals of the order Rodentia characterised by a single pair of incisors in each of the upper and lower jaws
Roost:	To settle or congregate for rest or sleep

Draft

Runoff:	Flow of water on the earth's surface that occurs as a result of precipitation. Excessive runoff may lead to erosion of soil
Salt-lick:	A place where animals go to lick essential mineral nutrients from a deposit of salts
Scat:	Faeces of a carnivorous animal
Scavenger:	An animal that feeds on dead organisms rather than— or in addition to— hunting live prey
Sign survey:	Visual assessment and documentation of visual clues of animal presence and activity such as faeces and foot marks
Smart infrastructure:	Comparable to 'green infrastructure', it refers to infrastructure that has been located and designed to foster sustainable development, taking into account biodiversity conservation issues along with other social, economic and health factors
Source-sink dynamics:	A theoretical model used by ecologists to describe how variation in habitat quality may affect the population growth and decline of organisms
Species diversity:	A measure of the diversity within an ecological community incorporating both species richness and evenness of species abundance
Species range:	The geographical area where a particular species can be found during its lifetime and includes areas where individuals or communities may migrate or hibernate
Sustainable development:	Development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs
Target species:	The species or group of species intended to benefit from proposed mitigation

Draft

Taxa:	Taxon (pl. taxa) is a group of one or more populations of an organism or organisms considered by taxonomists to form a unit
Terrestrial:	Pertaining to the land or earth
Territory:	An area defended by an organism or a group of similar organisms for mating, nesting, roosting, feeding or breeding
Thermoregulation:	Ability of an organism to maintain its internal temperature within certain boundaries, even when ambient environmental temperatures are different
Tiger reserve:	A protected area considered significant for protecting tigers and their habitat
Track beds:	An artificial surface of fine sand, plaster of Paris, or other fine material laid to track animal movement
Tunnelling effect:	The effect by which animal movement through an underpass or tunnel is hampered due to a narrow or constricted view across the structure
UNESCO World Heritage Site:	An area specifically designated under the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) World Heritage Convention as being of special cultural significance
Ungulates:	Animals with hooves (an enlarged toenail)
Vertebrates:	Animals with a vertebral column
VIE tags:	Visible Implant Elastomer tags are biocompatible materials that are used to mark animals such as amphibians by injecting into the animal's tissue
Volant:	Animals that are able to glide or fly

Draft

Water hole:	A depression in the ground in which water can collect
Wetland:	Lands that are transitional between terrestrial and aquatic systems where the water table is usually at or near the surface, or the land is periodically covered with shallow water. They generally contain plant communities that are adapted to periodic inundation
Wildlife community:	An assemblage of plant and animal populations living in a particular habitat and interacting with each other
Wildlife conservation:	Practice of protecting and managing wild plant and animal species and their habitats, to ensure their persistence in the long term
Wildlife overpass:	Physical structure built over road or rail infrastructure in order to connect the habitats on either side. The surface is at least partly covered with soil or other natural material that allows the establishment of vegetation
Wildlife underpass:	Physical structure situated underneath linear infrastructure in order to connect the habitats on either side. The surface is at least partly covered with soil or natural material that allows the establishment of vegetation
Wing span:	The distance from one wing tip to the other

Draft

भारतीय वन्यजीव संस्थान
Wildlife Institute of India

P O Box 18, Chandrabani
Dehradun - 248002
Uttarakhand
t. +91 135 2640111 - 115
f. +91 135 2640117
e. wii@wii.gov.in
w. http://www.wii.gov.in